arXiv:1107.0388v2 [math.CV] 21 Nov 2011

ON THE EFFECTIVE MEMBERSHIP PROBLEM ON SINGULAR VARIETIES

MATS ANDERSSON & ELIZABETH WULCAN

Abstract. We present some extensions to singular varieties of effective membership results previously known in $\mathbb{C}^n$ or on smooth varieties. In particular, we extend a geometric effective Nullstellensatz due to Ein and Lazarsfeld, and a closely related result of Hickel for polynomial ideals in $\mathbb{C}^n$, to singular varieties. These results can be seen as global effective versions of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem. We also have extensions to singular varieties of classical theorems of Max Noether and Macaulay.

1. Introduction

Let V be a reduced n-dimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$. If $F_1, \dots, F_m$ are polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^N$ with no common zeros on V, then by the Nullstellensatz there are polynomials Q_j such that $\sum F_j Q_j = 1$ on V. It was proved by Jelonek, [23], that if F_j have degree at most d, then one can find Q_j such that

$$\deg(F_j Q_j) \le c_m d^\mu \deg V,$$

where $c_m = 1$ if $m \le n$, $c_m = 2$ if $m > n$, and, throughout this paper,

$$\mu := \min(m, n).$$

Here $\deg V$ means the degree of the closure of V in $\mathbb{P}^N$. This theorem generalizes Kollár's result[1], [24], for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and does not require any smoothness assumptions on V. The bound is optimal[2] when $m \le n$ and almost optimal when $m > n$. However, in view of various known results in the case when $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, one can expect sharper degree estimates if the common zero set of the polynomials F_j behaves nicely at infinity in $\mathbb{P}^N$.

More generally one can take arbitrary polynomials F_j of degree at most d and look for a solution Q_j to

$$F_1 Q_1 + \cdots + F_m Q_m = \Phi \tag{1.1}$$

with good degree estimates, provided that the polynomial Φ belongs to the ideal (F_j) generated by the F_j on V. It follows from a result of Hermann, [20], that one can choose Q_j such that $\deg(F_j Q_j) \le \deg \Phi + C(d, N)$, where $C(d, N)$ is like $2(2d)^{2^N - 1}$ for large d, thus doubly exponential. It is shown in [28] that this estimate cannot be substantially improved. However, under additional hypotheses on the singularities

---

Date: November 22, 2011.

The first author was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council. The second author was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council and by the NSF.

[1] In Kollár's theorem $c_m = 1$ even for $m > n$ (unless $d = 2$, cf., [31]) and this estimate is optimal.

[2] In Kollár's and Jelonek's theorems, as well as in [21], there are more precise results that take into account different degree bounds d_j of F_j, but for simplicity, in this paper we always keep all $d_j = d$.

of V and the common zero set of the F_j, much sharper estimates are possible. For instance, the classical $AF+BG$ theorem of Max Noether reads (originally for $n=2$): *If* $F_1,\dots,F_n$ *are polynomials in* $\mathbb{C}^n$ *such that their common zero set is discrete and does not intersect the hyperplane at infinity, and* Φ *belongs to the ideal* (F_j)*, then one can find polynomials* Q_j *such* (1.1) *holds and* $\deg F_jQ_j \le \deg \Phi$*.* This result is clearly optimal.

By homogenization, this kind of effective results can be reformulated as geometric statements: Let $z=(z_0,\dots,z_N)$, $z'=(z_1,\dots,z_N)$, let $f_i(z) := z_0^d F_i(z'/z_0)$ be the d-homogenizations of F_i, and let $\varphi(z) := z_0^{\deg\Phi}\Phi(z'/z_0)$. Then there is a representation (1.1) on V with $\deg(F_jQ_j)\le\rho$ if and only if there are $(\rho-d)$-homogeneous forms q_i on $\mathbb{P}^N$ such that

$$f_1q_1+\cdots+f_mq_m = z_0^{\rho-\deg\Phi}\varphi \tag{1.2}$$

on the closure X of V in $\mathbb{P}^N$. As usual, we can consider f_j as holomorphic sections of the (restriction to X of the) line bundle $\mathcal{O}(d)\to\mathbb{P}^N$, $z_0^{\rho-\deg\Phi}\varphi$ as a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$, etc, so that (1.2) is a statement about sections of line bundles.

In this paper we present generalizations to singular varieties of some effective membership results that are previously known for $V=\mathbb{C}^n$ or smooth varieties. In particular, in Section 2 we present some generalizations of results of Max Noether type, but our main results are global effective versions of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem:

Given $x\in V$ *there is a number* μ_0 *such that if* $F_1,\dots,F_m,\Phi$ *are any holomorphic functions at* x*,* $\ell\ge 1$*, and* $|\Phi|\le C|F|^{\mu+\mu_0+\ell-1}$ *in a neighborhood of* x*, where* $|F|^2 = |F_1|^2+\cdots+|F_m|^2$ *and* C *is a positive constant, then* Φ *belongs to the local ideal* $(F_j)_x^\ell$ *at* x*.*

If x is a smooth point, then one can take $\mu_0=0$; this is the classical Briançon-Skoda theorem, [13]. The general case was proved by Huneke, [22], by purely algebraic methods. An analytic proof appeared in [7].

Our global formulation involves the following two numbers: Given $V\subset\mathbb{C}^N$, $\kappa=\kappa(V)$ is the least number such that all holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}(s)$ over X extend to global holomorphic sections over $\mathbb{P}^N$ for $s\ge\kappa$, and $\nu=\nu(V)$ is the least number such that $H^{0,i}(X,\mathcal{O}(s))=0$ for $i\ge1$ and $s\ge\nu$. See Section 6 for upper bounds of κ and ν; in particular, they are bounded by the so-called *regularity* $\operatorname{reg}X$ of X. When X is smooth, by Kodaira's vanishing theorem, ν is less than or equal to the least number σ such that $\mathcal{O}(\sigma)|_X\otimes K_X^{-1}$ is strictly positive, where K_X is the canonical bundle. In particular, if $V=\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., $X=\mathbb{P}^n$, then $\nu=-n$.

Given polynomials $F_1,\dots,F_m$ on V, let f_j denote the corresponding sections of $\mathcal{O}(d)|_X$, and let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the coherent analytic sheaf over X generated by f_j. Furthermore, let c_∞ be the maximal codimension of the so-called *distinguished varieties* of the sheaf $\mathcal{J}_f$, in the sense of Fulton-MacPherson, that are contained in

$$X_\infty := X\setminus V,$$

see Section 8. If there are no distinguished varieties contained in X_∞, then we interpret c_∞ as $-\infty$. It is well-known that the codimension of a distinguished variety cannot exceed the number m, see, e.g., Proposition 2.6 in [15], and thus

$$c_\infty \le \mu. \tag{1.3}$$

We let Z^f denote the zero variety of $\mathcal{J}_f$ in X.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that V is a reduced n-dimensional algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$.*

(i) There exists a number μ_0 such that if $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ are polynomials of degree $\le d$ and Φ is a polynomial such that

$$|\Phi| \le C|F|^{\mu+\mu_0} \tag{1.4}$$

locally on V, then one can solve (1.1) on V with

$$deg\,(F_jQ_j) \le \max\big(deg\,\Phi + (\mu+\mu_0)d^{c_\infty}\, deg\, X, d\min(m, n+1) + \nu, d+\kappa\big).$$

If X is smooth one can take $\mu_0 = 0$.

(ii) If just V is smooth, then there is a number μ' such that if $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ are polynomials of degree $\le d$ and Φ is a polynomial such that

$$|\Phi| \le C|F|^{\mu} \tag{1.5}$$

locally on V, then one can solve (1.1) on V with

$$deg\,(F_jQ_j) \le \max\big(deg\,\Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty}\, deg\, X + \mu', d\min(m, n+1) + \nu, d+\kappa\big).$$

There is an analogous result for powers $(F_j)^\ell$ of (F_j), see Theorem 9.4. The number μ' in (ii) is related to the singularities of V at infinity, in particular one can take $\mu' = 0$ if X is smooth, as follows from (i). If V is arbitrary and $Z^f \cap X_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$, then (i) holds with $\mu_0 = 0$; for a slightly stronger statement, see Remark 9.2. Notice that if there are no distinguished varieties contained in X_∞, then $d^{c_\infty} = 0$.

Remark 1.2. For $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ Theorem 1.1 gives the estimate

$$\deg\,(F_jQ_j) \le \max\big(\deg\Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty}, d\min(m, n+1) - n\big). \tag{1.6}$$

If $m \le n$ it follows from the proof that we have in fact the sharper estimate

$$\deg\,(F_jQ_j) \le \deg\Phi + m d^{c_\infty}. \tag{1.7}$$

The estimate (1.6) was proved by Hickel, [21], but with the term $\min(m, n+1)d^\mu$ rather than our μd^{c_∞}. The ideas in [21] are similar to the ones used in [15]. If one applies the geometric estimate in [15], rather than the (closely related) so-called refined Bezout estimate by Fulton-MacPherson that is used in [21], one can replace the exponent μ by c_∞. This refinement was pointed out already in Example 1 in [15]. The number $(n+1)$ in the factor $\min(m, n+1)$ comes from an application of a global Briançon-Skoda type theorem. In our approach we have to annihilate a certain current, which is a purely local matter, and therefore it is enough with the local Briançon-Skoda power μ. $\square$

Remark 1.3. If we apply Theorem 1.1 to Nullstellensatz data, i.e., F_j with no common zeros on V and $\Phi = 1$, we get back the optimal result of Jelonek, except for the annoying factor $\mu + \mu_0$ in front of d^{c_∞}. On the other hand, $(\mu+\mu_0)d^{c_\infty} < d^\mu$ if $c_\infty < \mu$ and d is large enough. $\square$

We have the following more abstract variant of Theorem 1.1. It is a generalization to nonsmooth varieties of the geometric effective Nullstellensatz of Ein-Lazarsfeld in [15] (Theorem 10.1 below). Let X be a reduced projective variety. Recall that if $L \to X$ is *ample*, then there is a (smallest) number ν_L such that $H^i(X, L^{\otimes s}) = 0$ for $i \ge 1$ and $s \ge \nu_L$, cf., [26, Ch. 1.2].

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a reduced projective variety of dimension n. There is a number μ_0, only depending on X, such that the following holds: Let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be global holomorphic sections of an ample Hermitian line bundle $L \to X$, and let ϕ be a section of $L^{\otimes s}$, where $s \geq \nu_L + \min(m, n+1)$. If*

$$|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\mu+\mu_0}, \tag{1.8}$$

then there are holomorphic sections q_j of $L^{\otimes(s-1)}$ such that

$$f_1 q_1 + \cdots + f_m q_m = \phi. \tag{1.9}$$

If X is smooth we can choose $\mu_0 = 0$, cf., Theroem 10.1.

Let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the ideal sheaf generated by f_j and assume that the associated distinguished varieties Z_k have multiplicities r_k, cf., Section 8. If we assume that ϕ is in $\cap_k \mathcal{J}(Z_k)^{r_k(\mu+\mu_0)}$, where $\mathcal{J}(Z_k)$ is the radical ideal associated with the distinguished variety Z_k, then (1.8) holds, and hence we have a representation (1.9).

The following example shows that μ_0 can be arbitrarily large.

Example 1.5. Let X be the cusp $\{z_1^2 z_0^{p-2} - z_2^p = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, where $p > 2$ is odd. Then the sections $f = z_2$ of $L := \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\phi = z_0^{s-1} z_1$ of $L^{\otimes s}$ satisfy $|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ on X as soon as $s \geq 2$. However, ϕ is not in (f) on X at the singular point $\{z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$ nor at $\{z_0 = z_2 = 0\}$ (unless $p = 3$).

One can check that the local Briançon-Skoda number at $\{z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$ is $\frac{p-1}{2}$, i.e., $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is the smallest number $\tilde{\mu}_0$ such that $|\psi| \leq C|g|^{1+\tilde{\mu}_0}$ implies that the germ ψ is in the local ideal generated by g on $X_{\{z_1=z_2=0\}}$. Similarly the Briançon-Skoda number at $\{z_0 = z_2 = 0\}$ is $\lceil \frac{(p-3)(p-1)}{p-2} \rceil$. It follows that $\mu_0 \geq \max(\frac{p-1}{2}, \lceil \frac{(p-3)(p-1)}{p-2} \rceil)$; in fact, $\mu_0 = \max(\frac{p-1}{2}, \lceil \frac{(p-3)(p-1)}{p-2} \rceil)$.

From Example 6.2 we know that $\nu_L \leq p - 2$. One can check that (1.8) (at $z_0 = z_2 = 0$) for our given f and ϕ implies that $s \geq \nu_L + 1$, so that the hypothesis on s is vacuous in this case. □

Given $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, let $\mu_0(V)$ be the smallest number such that for any polynomials F_j and Φ, $|\Phi| \leq C|F|^{\mu+\mu_0(V)}$ locally on V implies that $\Phi \in (F_j)$ on V, e.g. $\mu_0(\{z_1^2 - z_2^p = 0\}) = \frac{p-1}{2}$, cf., Example 1.5. As in the example the μ_0 associated with the closure $X \in \mathbb{P}^N$ has to be at least $\mu_0(V)$. Indeed, if Φ satisfies (1.4) on V, then the homogenization $\phi = z_0^{s-\deg\Phi}\varphi$ satisfies (1.8) if s is large enough. Thus Theorem 1.4 gives a solution to (1.9) on X; in particular $\Phi \in (F_j)$.

The starting point for the proofs of Theroems 1.1 and 1.4 is the framework introduced in [2], and further developed in [5], for polynomial ideals in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In [32] and [33] these ideas are adapted to toric compactifications of $\mathbb{C}^n$ other than $\mathbb{P}^n$, which leads to "sparse" effective membership results. In our case, let us first assume that X is a smooth projective variety and that $f_1, \dots, f_m$ are sections of an ample line bundle $L \to X$ with common zero set Z^f. From the Koszul complex generated by the f_j we define a current R^f with support on Z^f and taking values in (a direct sum of) negative powers of L. If ϕ is a section of $L^{\otimes s}$, vanishing enough on Z^f so that the current $R^f\phi$ vanishes, and if in addition $L^{\otimes s}$ is positive enough so that a certain sequence of $\bar\partial$-equations can be solved on X, we end up with a holomorphic solution $q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$ to (1.9). The tricky point here is to verify, by means of multivariable residue calculus, that ϕ annihilates R^f, i.e., $R^f\phi = 0$.

The main novelty in this paper is an extension of this framework to singular X. In this case we need an embedding $i\colon X \to Y$ of X into a smooth manifold Y. In Y we define a residue current $R^{\mathcal{J}_X}$ obtained from a free resolution of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_X$ associated with X, and the "product" current $R^f \wedge R^{\mathcal{J}_X}$. If all data, i.e., L, f_j, and ϕ, admit extensions to Y, which is the case in Theorem 1.1, then we can proceed basically as before, showing that ϕ annihilates $R^f \wedge R^{\mathcal{J}_X}$, solving $\bar\partial$-equations in Y and ending up with a holomorphic solution to (1.9). Via an additional trick it is in fact enough to solve $\bar\partial$-equations on X, so that we can avoid relying on vanishing theorems on Y. This is described in Section 5. In the proof of Theorem 1.4 additional difficulties arise, since we have no a priori extensions to a smooth manifold Y.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In the next section we present some generalizations of the Max Noether theorem. In Sections 3 to 5 we provide some background on residue currents and preliminary results. In section 6 we give upper bounds for the numbers κ and ν in Theorem 1.1. Finally the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 are gathered in Sections 7 to 10 together with some further results and comments.

2. Results of Max Noether and Macaulay type

Again let V be an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$ of pure dimension n. In the results discussed so far we have assumed that Φ is in the ideal (F_j) by virtue of a Briançon-Skoda-Huneke condition. In this section we consider results where the polynomial Φ a priori is just assumed to belong to the ideal (F_j) on V. To get good degree estimates one then must impose geometric restrictions on Z^f, as well as on X when it is nonsmooth. Classical examples of such results are Max Noether's theorem, [29], already mentioned in Section 1 and Macaulay's theorem, [27]:

If the polynomials $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ of degree $\leq d$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ have no common zeros in $\mathbb{P}^n$, then there are polynomials Q_j such that $F_1Q_1 + \cdots + F_mQ_m = 1$ and $deg\, F_jQ_j \leq d(n+1) - n$.

As before, let X be the closure of V in $\mathbb{P}^N$. For the formulation of our first result we have to introduce certain intrinsic subvarieties

$$X^{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset X^1 \subset X^0 \subset X,$$

of X that reflect the complexity of the singularities of X; for the definition, see Section 3.6. In fact, $X^0 = X_{\rm sing}$ and X^ℓ are BEF varieties, see below, of the sheaf $\mathcal{J}_X$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$. In particular, $\operatorname{codim} X^\ell \geq \ell + 1$, $\ell \geq 0$. Our result can be seen as a generalization of Max Noether's (and Macaulay's) theorem. As in that theorem the dimension of Z^f is assumed to be as small as possible in V, i.e., $\operatorname{codim} Z^f \geq m$, whereas at infinity we only require Z^f not to intersect $X_{\rm sing}$ too "much".

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that V is an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$ and let X be its closure in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ be given polynomials of degree $\leq d$ such that*

$$\operatorname{codim} Z^f \cap V \geq m. \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, assume that

$$\operatorname{codim} (Z^f \cap X^\ell) \geq m + \ell + 1, \quad \ell \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

If $\Phi \in (F_j)$ on V, then there is a representation (1.1) on V with

$$deg\, (F_jQ_j) \leq \max(deg\, \Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty} deg\, X, d \min(m, n+1) + \nu, d + \kappa).$$

Notice that (2.2) forces that either $Z^f \cap X_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$ or $m < n$. Recall that $c_\infty \leq m$. If X is smooth, then (2.2) is vacuous. If Z^f has no irreducible component contained in X_∞ at all, then $d^{c_\infty} = 0$.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that X is smooth, $m \leq n$, $\operatorname{codim} Z^f \geq m$, and Z^f has no irreducible component contained in X_∞. If Φ is a polynomial that belongs to the ideal (F_j) in V, then there is a representation* (1.1) *with*

$$\mathit{deg}\,(F_jQ_j) \leq \max\big(\mathit{deg}\,\Phi, dm + \nu, d + \kappa\big). \tag{2.3}$$

If $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, and $m \leq n$, one can replace $dm + \nu$ by zero since then there are no $\bar\partial$-cohomology obstructions, and $\kappa = -\infty$, so the right hand side of (2.3) is just $\deg \Phi$. This case appeared already in [2]. If $m = n$, and thus Z^f is discrete, we get back the classical theorem of Max Noether.

Corollary 2.3. *If Z^f is empty, then there are polynomials Q_j such that $\sum F_jQ_j = 1$ on V and*

$$\mathit{deg}\,(F_jQ_j) \leq \max(d(n+1) + \nu, d + \kappa).$$

Recall that if $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\nu = -n$, cf., the introduction, and $\kappa = -\infty$ so we get back Macaulay's theorem.

The principal condition in the previous theorem was assumption (2.1). We have a similar result even when this condition is not fulfilled. For simplicity we restrict to the case when X is smooth.

Assume that the variety $Z^f \subset X$ associated with $\mathcal{J}_f$ has codimension p. There are intrinsic analytic varieties

$$\cdots Z^{\text{bef}}_{k+1} \subset Z^{\text{bef}}_k \subset \cdots \subset Z^{\text{bef}}_1 \subset Z^{\text{bef}}_0 = Z^f,$$

that we call *BEF varieties*[3], and that reflect the complexity of $\mathcal{J}_f$, see Section 3.4. The codimension of Z^{bef}_k is at least k, and if the local ideal $\mathcal{J}_{f,x}$ at x has codimension p, then $Z^{\text{bef}}_{p,x} = Z^{\text{bef}}_x$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{J}_{f,x}$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $Z^{\text{bef}}_{k,x} = \emptyset$ for $k > p$, see [16].

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that V is an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$ such that its closure X is smooth in $\mathbb{P}^N$. For given polynomials $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ let Z^{bef}_k be the BEF varieties associated with $\mathcal{J}_f$, and assume that*

$$\operatorname{codim}(X_\infty \cap Z^{bef}_k) \geq k + 1, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Then there is a constant $\beta = \beta(X, F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ such that if $\Phi \in (F_j)$, then there is a representation (1.1) *on V with*

$$\mathit{deg}\,(F_jQ_j) \leq \max(\mathit{deg}\,\Phi, \beta).$$

This estimate is clearly sharp if $\deg \Phi \geq \beta$. If the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_f$ is locally Cohen-Macaulay, for instance locally a complete intersection, then (2.4) just means that no irreducible component of Z^f is contained in X_∞. In special cases we have good control of β, see Section 7 below. In particular, we get back Corollary 2.2 and a smooth version of Corollary 2.3.

---

[3] The sets Z^{bef}_k are the zero varieties of certain Fitting ideals associated with a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}^X/\mathcal{J}_f$; the importance of these sets (ideals) was pointed out by Buchsbaum and Eisenbud in the 70's. We have not seen any notion for these sets in the literature, and "Buchsbaum-Eisenbud varieties" is already occupied for another purpose, so we stick to BEF as an acronym for Buchsbaum-Eisenbud-Fitting.

3. Some preliminaries on residue theory

Let X be a reduced projective variety of pure dimension n. The sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{\ell,k}$ of currents of bidegree (ℓ,k) on X is by definition the dual of the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{n-\ell,n-k}$ of smooth $(n-\ell,n-k)$-forms on X. If $i\colon X\to Y$ is an embedding in a smooth manifold Y of dimension N, then $\mathcal{E}_{n-\ell,n-k}$ can be identified with the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{E}^Y_{n-\ell,n-k}/\mathrm{Ker}\, i^*$, where $\mathrm{Ker}\, i^*$ is the sheaf of forms ξ on Y such that $i^*\xi$ vanish on $X_{\rm reg}$. It follows that the currents τ in $\mathcal{C}_{\ell,k}$ can be identified with currents $\tau'=i_*\tau$ on Y of bidegree $(N-n+\ell,N-n+k)$ that vanish on $\mathrm{Ker}\, i^*$.

Given a holomorphic function f on X, we have the principal value current $[1/f]$, defined for instance as the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\frac{1}{f},$$

where $\chi(t)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[1,\infty)$ or a smooth approximand of it. The existence of this limit for a general f relies on Hironaka's theorem that ensures that there is a modification $\pi\colon \widetilde{X}\to X$ such that π^*f is locally a monomial. It also follows that the function $\lambda\to|f|^{2\lambda}(1/f)$, a priori defined for $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda>>0$, has a current-valued analytic continuation to $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda>-\epsilon$, and that the value at $\lambda=0$ is precisely the current $[1/f]$, see, for instance, [11] or [12]. Although less natural at first sight, this latter definition via analytic continuation turns out to be much more convenient. The same idea will be used throughout this paper. For the rest of this paper we skip the brackets and write just $1/f$. It is readily checked that

$$f\frac{1}{f}=1,\qquad f\bar\partial\frac{1}{f}=0. \tag{3.1}$$

3.1. **Pseudomeromorphic currents.** In [9] we introduced the sheaf $\mathcal{PM}$ of *pseudomeromorphic currents* on a smooth manifold X. The definition when X is singular is identical. In this paper we will use the slightly extended definition introduced in [6]: We say that a current of the form

$$\frac{\xi}{s_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots s_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{s_n^{\alpha_n}},$$

where s is a local coordinate system and ξ is a smooth form with compact support, is an *elementary pseudomeromorphic current.* The sheaf $\mathcal{PM}$ consists of all possible (locally finite sums of) push-forwards under a sequence of maps $X^m\to\cdots\to X^1\to X$, of elementary pseudomeromorphic currents, where X^m is smooth, and each mapping is either a modification, a simple projection $\widehat{X}\times Y\to\widehat{X}$, or an open inclusion, i.e., X^j is an open subset of X^{j-1}.

The sheaf $\mathcal{PM}$ is closed under $\bar\partial$ (and ∂) and multiplication with smooth forms. If τ is in $\mathcal{PM}$ and has support on a subvariety V and η is a holomorphic form that vanishes on V, then $\overline{\eta}\wedge\tau=0$. We also have the

Dimension principle: If τ is a pseudomeromorphic current on X of bidegree $(*,p)$ that has support on a variety V of codimension $>p$, then $\tau=0$.

If τ is in $\mathcal{PM}$ and V is a subvariety of X, then the natural restriction of τ to the open set $X\setminus V$ has a canonical extension as a principal value to a pseudomeromorphic current $\mathbf{1}_{X\setminus V}\tau$ on X: Let h be a holomorphic tuple with common zero set V. The current-valued function $\lambda\mapsto|h|^{2\lambda}\tau$, a priori defined for $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda>>0$, has an analytic continuation to $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda>-\epsilon$ and its value at $\lambda=0$ is by definition $\mathbf{1}_{X\setminus V}\tau$, see, e.g.,

[9]. One can also take a smooth approximand χ of the characteristic function of the interval $[1,\infty)$ and obtain $\mathbf{1}_{X\setminus V}\tau$ as the limit of $\chi(|h|^2/\epsilon)\tau$ when $\epsilon\to 0$. It follows that $\mathbf{1}_V\tau := \tau - \mathbf{1}_{X\setminus V}\tau$ is pseudomeromorphic and has support on V. Notice that if α is a smooth form, then $\mathbf{1}_V\alpha\wedge\tau = \alpha\wedge\mathbf{1}_V\tau$. Moreover, If $\pi\colon \widetilde{X}\to X$ is a modification, $\tilde{\tau}$ is in $\mathcal{PM}(\widetilde{X})$, and $\tau = \pi_*\tilde{\tau}$, then

$$\mathbf{1}_V\tau = \pi_*\big(\mathbf{1}_{\pi^{-1}V}\tilde{\tau}\big) \tag{3.2}$$

for any subvariety $V\subset X$. There is actually a reasonable definition of $\mathbf{1}_W\tau$ for any constructible set W, and

$$\mathbf{1}_W\mathbf{1}_{W'}\tau = \mathbf{1}_{W\cap W'}\tau. \tag{3.3}$$

Recall that a current is *semimeromorphic* if it is the quotient of a smooth form and a holomorphic function. We say that a current τ is *almost semimeromorphic* in X if there is a modification $\pi\colon\widetilde{X}\to X$ and a semimeromorphic current $\tilde{\tau}$ such that $\tau=\pi_*\tilde{\tau}$. Analogously we say that τ is *almost smooth* if $\tau=\pi_*\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ is smooth. Any almost semimeromorphic (or smooth) τ is pseudomeromorphic.

3.2. **Residues associated with Hermitian complexes.**

Assume that

$$0\to E_M \xrightarrow{f^M} \dots \xrightarrow{f^3} E_2 \xrightarrow{f^2} E_1 \xrightarrow{f^1} E_0 \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

is a generically exact complex of Hermitian vector bundles over X and let Z be the subvariety where (3.4) is not pointwise exact. The bundle $E=\oplus E_k$ gets a natural superbundle structure, i.e., a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, $E=E^+\oplus E^-$, E^+ and E^- being the subspaces of even and odd elements, respectively, by letting $E^+=\oplus_{2k}E_k$ and $E^-=\oplus_{2k+1}E_k$. This extends to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(E)$ of E-valued currents, so that the degree of $\xi\otimes e$ is the sum of the current degree of ξ and the degree of e, modulo 2. An endomorphism on $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(E)$ is even if it preserves degree and odd if it switches degrees. The mappings $f:=\sum f_j$ and $\bar\partial$ are then odd mappings on $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(E)$. We introduce $\nabla=\nabla_f=f-\bar\partial$; it is just (minus) the $(0,1)$-part of Quillen's superconnection $D-\bar\partial$. Since the odd mappings f and $\bar\partial$ anti-commute, $\nabla^2=0$. Moreover, ∇ extends to an odd mapping ∇_{End} on $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(\text{End}E)$ so that

$$\nabla(\alpha\xi) = \nabla_{\text{End}}\alpha\cdot\xi + (-1)^{\deg\alpha}\alpha\nabla\xi \tag{3.5}$$

for sections ξ and α of E and $\text{End}E$, respectively, and then $\nabla^2_{\text{End}}=0$. In $X\setminus Z$ we define, following [8, Section 2], a smooth $\text{End}E$-valued form u such that

$$\nabla_{\text{End}}u = I,$$

where $I=I_E$ is the identity endomorphism on E. We have that

$$u=\sum_\ell u^\ell = \sum_\ell\sum_{k\ge\ell+1} u^\ell_k,$$

where u^ℓ_k is in $\mathcal{E}_{0,k-\ell-1}(\text{Hom}\,(E_\ell,E_k))$ over $X\setminus Z$. Following [8][4] we define a pseudomeromorphic current extension U of u across Z, as the value at $\lambda=0$ of the current-valued analytic function

$$\lambda\mapsto U^\lambda := |F|^{2\lambda}u,$$

---

[4] The definition is the same when X is singular.

a priori defined for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda >> 0$, where F is the tuple f^1. In the same way we define the *residue current* R associated with (3.4) as the value at $\lambda = 0$ of

$$\lambda \mapsto R^\lambda := (1 - |F|^{2\lambda})I + \bar\partial |F|^{2\lambda} \wedge u.$$

This current clearly has its support on Z, and

$$R = \sum_\ell R^\ell = \sum_\ell \sum_{k \geq \ell+1} R^\ell_k,$$

where R^ℓ_k is a $\operatorname{Hom}(E_\ell, E_k)$-valued $(0, k-\ell)$-current. The currents U^ℓ and U^ℓ_k are defined analogously. Notice that U has odd degree and R has even degree. By the dimension principle, R^ℓ_k vanishes if $k - \ell < \operatorname{codim} Z$. In particular, $R^0_0 = (1 - |F|^{2\lambda})I_{E_0}|_{\lambda=0}$ is zero, unless some components W of Z has codimension 0, in which case R^0_0 is the characteristic function for W times the identity I_{E_0} on E_0. However, when we define products of currents later on, all components of R^λ may play a role.

Since $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}} U^\lambda = I - R^\lambda$ and $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}} R^\lambda = 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}\lambda >> 0$, we conclude that

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{End}} U = I - R, \qquad \nabla_{\mathrm{End}} R = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

In particular, if ξ is a section of E

$$\nabla(U\xi) = \xi - R\wedge\xi.$$

Also, (3.6) means that, cf. (3.5),

$$f^1 U^0_1 = I_{E_0}, \quad f^{k+1} U^0_{k+1} - \bar\partial U^0_k = R^0_k; \quad k \geq 1.$$

Notice that when ϕ is a section of E_0, then $R^0\phi = R\phi$ and $U^0\phi = U\phi$, and we will often skip the upper indices.

Example 3.1 (The Koszul complex). Let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be holomorphic sections of a Hermitian line bundle $L \to X$. Let E^j be disjoint trivial line bundles with basis elements e_j and define the rank m bundle

$$E = L^{-1} \otimes E^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{-1} \otimes E^m$$

over X. Then $f := \sum f_j e^*_j$, where e^*_j is the dual basis, is a section of the dual bundle $E^* = L \otimes (E^1)^* \oplus \cdots \oplus L \otimes (E^m)^*$. If $S \to X$ is a Hermitian line bundle we can form a complex (3.4) with

$$E_0 = S, \quad E_k = S \otimes \Lambda^k E,$$

where all the mappings f^k in (3.4) are interior multiplication δ_f with the section f. Notice that

$$E_k = S \otimes L^{-k} \otimes \Lambda^k(E^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E^m).$$

The superstructure of $\oplus_k E_k$ in this case coincides with the natural grading of the exterior algebra ΛE of E modulo 2.

Let us recall how the currents U^0 and R^0 are defined in this case. For simplicity we suppress the upper indices throughout this example. We have the natural norm

$$|f|^2 = \sum_j |f_j|^2_L$$

on E^*. Let σ be the section of E over $X \setminus Z$ of pointwise minimal norm such that $f \cdot \sigma = \delta_f \sigma = 1$, i.e.,

$$\sigma = \sum_j \frac{f^*_j e_j}{|f|^2},$$

where f_j^* are the sections of L^{-1} of minimal norm such that $f_j f_j^* = |f_j|_L^2$.

Let us consider the exterior algebra over $E \oplus T^*(X)$ so that $d\bar{z}_j \wedge e_\ell = -e_\ell \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ etc. Then, e.g., $\bar\partial\sigma$ is a form of positive degree. We have the smooth form $u = \sum u_k$, where $u_k = \sigma\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma)^{k-1}$, in $X \setminus Z$, and it turns out that it admits a natural current extension U across Z, e.g., defined as the analytic continuation of $U^\lambda = |f|^{2\lambda} u$ to $\lambda = 0$. Furthermore, the associated residue current R is obtained as the evaluation at $\lambda = 0$ of

$$\begin{aligned} R^\lambda &:= 1 - |f|^{2\lambda} + \bar\partial|f|^{2\lambda}\wedge u = \\ &\quad 1 - |f|^{2\lambda} + \bar\partial|f|^{2\lambda}\wedge u_1 + \cdots + \bar\partial|f|^{2\lambda}\wedge u_{\min(m,n)} =: R_0^\lambda + R_1^\lambda + \cdots + R_{\min(m,n)}^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of the analytic continuations follows from a suitable resolution $\widetilde{X} \to X$, see [1], see also Section 8 below. □

3.3. **The associated sheaf complex.** Given the complex (3.4) we have the associated complex of locally free sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(E_M) \xrightarrow{f^M} \dots \xrightarrow{f^3} \mathcal{O}(E_2) \xrightarrow{f^2} \mathcal{O}(E_1) \xrightarrow{f^1} \mathcal{O}(E_0). \tag{3.7}$$

In this paper E_0 is always a line bundle so that $\mathcal{J} := \operatorname{Im} f^1$ is a coherent ideal sheaf over X.

Consider the double sheaf complex $\mathcal{M}_{\ell,k} := \mathcal{C}_{0,k}(E_\ell)$ with mappings f and $\bar\partial$. We have the associated total complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\nabla_f} \mathcal{M}_j \xrightarrow{\nabla_f} \mathcal{M}_{j-1} \xrightarrow{\nabla_f} \dots \quad ,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_j = \oplus_{\ell-k=j}\mathcal{M}_{\ell,k}$. If X is smooth, then $\mathcal{M}_{\ell,k}$ is exact in the k-direction except at $k = 0$, and the kernels there are $\mathcal{O}(E_\ell)$. Notice that if ϕ is in $\mathcal{O}(E_\ell)$ and $f^\ell\phi = 0$, then also $\nabla_f\phi = 0$. We therefore have a natural mapping

$$H^j(\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)) \to H^j(\mathcal{M}_\bullet). \tag{3.8}$$

By standard homological algebra, (3.8) is in fact an isomorphism. We can also consider the corresponding sheaf complexes $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell,k} := \mathcal{E}_{0,k}(E_\ell)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_j$ of smooth sections, and the analogue of (3.8) is then an isomorphism as well.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that X is smooth. If ϕ is a holomorphic section of E_0 that annihilates R, i.e., $R\phi = 0$, then ϕ is in $\mathcal{J}$.*

Proof. In fact, by (3.6) we have that

$$\nabla_f(U\phi) = \phi - R\phi = \phi.$$

Since X is smooth, (3.8) is an isomorphism, and thus locally $\phi = f^1\psi$ for some holomorphic ψ, i.e., ϕ is in $\mathcal{J}$. □

The smoothness assumption is crucial, as the following example shows.

Example 3.3. Let f be one single function. Then the residue condition $R\phi = 0$ means that $\bar\partial(\phi/f) = 0$. Thus $\psi = \phi/f$ is in the Barlet-Henkin-Passare class, cf., [19] and [6]; however in general ψ is not (strongly) holomorphic, i.e., in general ϕ is not in $\mathcal{J} = (f)$. □

We shall now see that if X is smooth and there is a global current solution to $\nabla W = \phi$, then there is also a smooth global solution. For further reference however we need a slightly more general statement about of the associated complex of global sections. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\ell,k}(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell,k}(X)$ be the double complexes of global current valued and smooth sections, respectively, and let $\mathcal{M}_\bullet(X)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X)$ be the associated total complexes. Notice that we have natural mappings

$$H^j(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X)) \to H^j(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(X)), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.4. *If X is smooth, then the mappings* (3.9) *are isomorphisms.*

Proof. By the de Rham theorem, the natural mappings

$$H^k(\mathcal{E}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)) \to H^k(\mathcal{C}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.10}$$

are isomorphisms; these spaces are in fact naturally isomorphic to the cohomology groups $H^k(X, \mathcal{O}(E_\ell))$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X) \to \mathcal{M}_\bullet(X) \to \mathcal{M}_\bullet(X)/\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X) \to 0$$

gives rise to, for each fixed ℓ, the long exact sequence

$$\ldots \to H^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)) \to H^{k-1}(\mathcal{C}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)) \to \\ H^{k-1}(\mathcal{C}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)/\mathcal{E}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)) \to H^k(\mathcal{E}_{0,\bullet}(X, E_\ell)) \to \ldots \quad ,$$

and in view of (3.10) therefore the cohomology in the k-direction of $\mathcal{M}_{\ell,k}(X)/\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell,k}(X)$ is zero. By a simple homological algebra argument, using that the the double complexes involved are bounded it follows that

$$H^k(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(X)/\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X)) = 0$$

for each k. The proposition now follows from the long exact sequence

$$\ldots \to H^{k-1}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X)) \to H^{k-1}(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(X)) \to \\ H^{k-1}(\mathcal{M}_\bullet(X)/\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X)) \to H^k(\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{E}}_\bullet(X)) \to \ldots \quad .$$

□

3.4. **Duality principle and BEF varieties.** We now consider the case when the locally free complex (3.7) is exact, i.e., a resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$. Let Z^{bef}_k be the (algebraic) set where the mapping f^k does not have optimal rank. These sets Z^{bef}_k are independent of the choice of resolution; we call them the BEF varieties associated with $\mathcal{J}$, cf., Section 2. It follows from the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud theorem that $\operatorname{codim} Z^{\text{bef}}_k \geq k$. If moreover $\mathcal{J}$ has pure dimension, for instance $\mathcal{J}$ is the radical ideal sheaf of a pure-dimensional subvariety, then $\operatorname{codim} Z^{\text{bef}}_k \geq k+1$ for $k \geq 1 + \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{J}$, see [16].

We will refer to a (locally free) resolution $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$ together with a choice of Hermitian metrics on the corresponding vector bundles E_k as a *Hermitian (locally free) resolution.* Then by [8, Theorem 3.1], we have that $R^\ell = 0$ for each $\ell \geq 1$, i.e., $R = R^0$. Moreover, there are almost semimeromorphic $\operatorname{Hom}(E_k, E_{k+1})$-valued $(0,1)$-forms α_{k+1}, that are smooth outside Z^{bef}_{k+1}, such that

$$R_{k+1} = \alpha_{k+1} R_k$$

there, see [8]. From [8] we also have the

Duality principle: If X is smooth and (3.7) *is a resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}$, then $\phi \in \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $R\phi = 0$.*

That is, the annihilator ideal sheaf of the residue current R is precisely the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}$ generated by f^1.

If for instance $f^1 = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ defines a complete intersection, i.e, $\operatorname{codim} Z^f = m$, then the Koszul complex is a resolution of $\mathcal{J}$ and hence the duality principle states that the annihilator of the residue current in Example 3.1 is the ideal itself. This special case was proved already in [30].

3.5. **Tensor products of complexes.** Assume that $E^g_\bullet, g$ and $E^h_\bullet, h$ are Hermitian complexes. We can then define a complex $E^f_\bullet = E^g_\bullet \otimes E^h_\bullet, f$, where

$$E^f_k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} E^g_i \otimes E^h_j,$$

and $f = g + h$, or more formally $f = g \otimes I_{E^h} + I_{E^g} \otimes h$, such that

$$f(\xi \otimes \eta) = g\xi \otimes \eta + (-1)^{\deg \xi} \xi \otimes h\eta. \tag{3.11}$$

Notice that E^f_0 is the line bundle $E^g_0 \otimes E^h_0$. If $g^1\mathcal{O}(E^g_1) = \mathcal{J}_g$ and $h^1\mathcal{O}(E^h_1) = \mathcal{J}_h$, then $f^1\mathcal{O}(E^f_1) = \mathcal{J}_g + \mathcal{J}_h$. One extends (3.11) to current-valued sections ξ and η, and $\deg \xi$ then means total degree. We write $\xi \cdot \eta$, or sometimes $\xi \wedge \eta$ to emphasize that the sections may be form- or current-valued, rather than $\xi \otimes \eta$, and define

$$\eta \cdot \xi = (-1)^{\deg \xi \deg \eta} \xi \cdot \eta. \tag{3.12}$$

Notice that

$$\nabla_f(\xi \cdot \eta) = \nabla_g \xi \cdot \eta + (-1)^{deg \xi} \xi \cdot \nabla_h \eta.$$

Let u^g and u^h be the corresponding $\operatorname{End}(E^g)$-valued and $\operatorname{End}(E^h)$-valued forms, cf., Section 3.2. Then $u^h \wedge u^g$ is a $\operatorname{End}(E^f)$-valued form defined outside $Z^g \cup Z^h$. Following the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [9] we can define $\operatorname{End}(E^f)$-valued pseudomeromorphic currents

$$U^h \wedge R^g := U^{h,\lambda} \wedge R^g|_{\lambda=0}, \quad R^h \wedge R^g := R^{h,\lambda} \wedge R^g|_{\lambda=0}.$$

We have that, cf., (3.6) and [4, Section 4],

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{End},f}(U^h \wedge R^g + U^g) = I_{E^f} - R^h \wedge R^g.$$

In general, the current $R^h \wedge R^g$ will change if we interchange the roles of g and h.

In particular we can form the product $E^h_\bullet \otimes E^h_\bullet$ of $E^h_\bullet$ by itself. In this case we consider (3.12) as an identification, so that, for instance,

$$(E^h_\bullet \otimes E^h_\bullet)_1 = E^h_1 \dot{\otimes} E^h_0, \quad (E^h_\bullet \otimes E^h_\bullet)_2 = E^h_2 \dot{\otimes} E^h_0 + \Lambda^2 E^h_1,$$

etc, where $\dot{\otimes}$ denotes symmetric tensor product. In general, $\xi \cdot \xi = 0$ if ξ has odd (total) degree.

We can just as well form a similar product of more than two complexes, and in particular, we can form the product $(E^h)^{\otimes k} = E^h \otimes E^h \otimes \cdots \otimes E^h$ of a given complex by itself.

3.6. **The structure form ω on a singular variety.** Let $i\colon X\to Y$ be an embedding of X in a smooth projective manifold Y of dimension N, let $\mathcal{J}_X$ be the radical ideal sheaf associated with X in Y, and let $S\to Y$ be an ample Hermitian line bundle. Moreover, let E_k^j be disjoint trivial line bundles over Y with basis elements $e_{k,j}$. There is a (possibly infinite) resolution, see, e.g., [26, Ch.1, Example 1.2.21],

$$\ldots \xrightarrow{g^3} \mathcal{O}(E_2) \xrightarrow{g^2} \mathcal{O}(E_1) \xrightarrow{g^1} \mathcal{O}(E_0) \tag{3.13}$$

of $\mathcal{O}(E_0)/\mathcal{J}_X=\mathcal{O}^X$, where E_k is of the form

$$E_k=\big(E_k^1\otimes S^{-d_k^1}\big)\oplus\cdots\oplus\big(E_k^{r_k}\otimes S^{-d_k^{r_k}}\big),\quad E_0=E_0^0\simeq\mathbb{C},$$

E_k^i are trivial line bundles, and

$$g^k=\sum_{ij} g_{ij}^k e_{k-1,i}\otimes e_{k,j}^*$$

are matrices of sections

$$g_{ij}^k\in\mathcal{O}(Y,S^{d_k^j-d_{k-1}^i});$$

here $e_{k,j}^*$ are the dual basis elements. There are natural induced norms on E_k. The associated residue current[5] R is annihilated by all smooth forms ξ such that $i^*\xi=0$. Let $\varOmega$ be a global nonvanishing $(\dim Y,0)$-form with values in K_Y^{-1}. By [6, Proposition 16] there is a (unique) almost semimeromorphic current ω on X, smooth on $X_{\rm reg}$, such that

$$i_*\omega=R\wedge\varOmega. \tag{3.14}$$

We say that ω is a *structure form* on X.

As an immediate consequence of the existence of ω, the product $\alpha\wedge R$ is well-defined for (sufficiently) smooth forms α on X. If $\alpha=i^*a$, we let $\alpha\wedge R:=a\wedge R$. This product only depends on α, since if $i^*a=0$, then $a\wedge R\wedge\varOmega=i_*(i^*a\wedge\omega)=0$ and hence $a\wedge R=0$ since $\varOmega\neq 0$.

Let X_k be the BEF varieties of $\mathcal{J}_X$, and define

$$X^0=X_{\rm sing},\quad X^\ell=X_{N-n+\ell},\ \ell\geq 1. \tag{3.15}$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_X$ has pure dimension it follows that $\operatorname{codim} X^k\geq k+1$, and in particular, $X^n=\emptyset$. These sets X^ℓ are actually independent of the choice of embedding of X, cf., the text after Lemma 4.1 in Section 4.

Let g_ℓ be the restriction to X of $g^{N-n+\ell}$, and let $\nabla^g=g_\bullet-\bar\partial$ on X. Let $E^\ell=E_{N-n+\ell}|_X$. Then $\omega=\omega_0+\omega_1+\cdots+\omega_n$, where ω_ℓ is a (n,ℓ)-form on X taking values in E^ℓ, and $\nabla^g\omega=0$ on X. There are almost semimeromorphic $\operatorname{Hom}(E^\ell,E^{\ell+1})$-valued $(0,1)$-forms $\alpha^{\ell+1}$ such that

$$\omega_{\ell+1}=\alpha^{\ell+1}\omega_\ell \tag{3.16}$$

there. In fact, α^ℓ is the pullback to X of the form $\alpha_{N-n+\ell}$ associated with a resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$ in Y, cf., Section 3.4.

Since ω is almost semi-meromorphic, it has the *the standard extension property*, SEP on X, which means that $\mathbf{1}_W\omega=0$ for all varieties $W\subset X$ of positive codimension.

The singularities of a structure form ω only depend on X, in the following sense:

---

[5] The fact that (3.13) may be infinite causes no problem, since, for degree reasons, U and R only contain a finite number of terms.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a projective variety. There is a smooth modification $\tau\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ and a holomorphic section η of a line bundle $S \to \widetilde{X}$ such that the following holds: If $X \to Y$ is an embedding of X in a smooth manifold Y, $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ is a Hermitian locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$, and ω is the associated structure form on X, then $\eta\tau^*\omega$ is smooth on $\widetilde{X}$. We can choose η to be nonvanishing in $\widetilde{X} \setminus \tau^{-1}X_{sing}$.*

After further resolving we may assume that η is locally a monomial in $\widetilde{X}$.

The proof is postponed to Section 4. Since ω is almost semimeromorphic, the pullback $\tau^*\omega$ is well-defined; this follows from the proof below, cf., also the remark after Definition 12 in [6].

Example 3.6. See [8, Section 6]. If $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$ and $S = \mathcal{O}(1)$, then

$$E_k = \big(E_k^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^1)\big) \oplus \cdots \oplus \big(E_k^{r_k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^{r_k})\big)$$

and g^k are matrices of homogeneous forms with $\deg g^k_{ij} = d^j_k - d^i_{k-1}$, and

$$|\xi(z)|^2_{E_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} |\xi_j(z)|^2 |z|^{2d_k^j},$$

if $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{r_k})$. Moreover,

$$\Omega = const \times \sum (-1)^j z_j dz_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{dz_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_N$$

in $\mathbb{P}^N$.

Let J_X denote the homogeneous ideal in the graded ring $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}[z_0, \ldots, z_N]$ that corresponds to X, and let $\mathcal{S}(\ell)$ denote the module $\mathcal{S}$ but where all degrees are shifted by ℓ. Then $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet), g$ corresponds to a free resolution

$$\ldots \to \oplus_i \mathcal{S}(-d_k^i) \to \ldots \to \oplus_i \mathcal{S}(-d_2^i) \to \oplus_i \mathcal{S}(-d_1^i) \to \mathcal{S}$$

of the module $\mathcal{S}/J_X$. By Hilbert's Syzygy theorem one can assume that $E_k = 0$ for $k > N+1$. □

3.7. **Local division problems on a singular variety.** Still assume that we have the embedding $i\colon X \to Y$, where Y is smooth, and the complex $E^g_\bullet, g$ over Y corresponding to a Hermitian locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$. If $E^f_\bullet, f$ is an arbitrary Hermitian complex over Y we have the complex $E^F = E^f \otimes E^g$ with mappings $F = f + g$ as in Section 3.5. Let $F^k = F|_{E_k}$. Since $R^f \wedge R^g = R^{f,\lambda} \wedge R^g|_{\lambda=0}$ and $U^f \wedge R^g = U^{f,\lambda} \wedge R^g|_{\lambda=0}$ cf., Section 3.6, these currents only depend on the values of f on X. From Section 3.5 we also have that

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{End},F} U = I - R^f \wedge R^g \tag{3.17}$$

if $U = U^f \wedge R^g + U^g$. If Φ is a (locally defined) holomorphic function in Y and $R^f \wedge R^g \Phi = 0$, then, following the proof of Lemma 3.2, there is a local holomorphic solution $v = v_f + v_g$ in $E_1^F = E_1^f \otimes E_0^g + E_0^f \otimes E_1^g$ to $g^1 v_f + f^1 v_g = F^1 v = \Phi$. Notice that in fact $R^f \wedge R^g \Phi$ only depends on the class ϕ of Φ in $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X = \mathcal{O}^X$, so $R^f \wedge R^g \phi$ is well-defined for ϕ in $\mathcal{O}^X$. We can define the intrinsic residue current

$$R^f \wedge \omega := R^{f,\lambda} \wedge \omega|_{\lambda=0}$$

on X. Since $i_* R^{f,\lambda} \wedge \omega = R^{f,\lambda} \wedge R^g \wedge \Omega$ when $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda >> 0$, we can conclude that

$$i_* R^f \wedge \omega = R^f \wedge R^g \wedge \Omega.$$

Since $\mathit{\Omega}$ is nonvanishing, $R^f\wedge\omega\phi=0$ implies that $R^f\wedge R^g\phi=0$ and thus we have:

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that* $E^f_\bullet, f$ *is a Hermitian complex on* X*. If* ϕ *is a holomorphic section of* E^f_0 *on* X *such that* $R^f\wedge\omega\phi=0$*, then locally* ϕ *is in the image of* f^1 *on* X*.*

3.8. **A fine resolution of $\mathcal{O}$ on X.** It was proved in [6], see [6, Theorem 2], that there exist sheaves $\mathcal{A}_k$ of $(0,k)$-currents on X with the following properties:
(i) $\mathcal{A}_k$ is equal to $\mathcal{E}_{0,k}$ on X_{reg},
(ii) $\mathcal{A}=\oplus_k\mathcal{A}_k$ is closed under multiplication with smooth $(0,*)$-forms,
(iii) $\bar\partial$ maps $\mathcal{A}_k\to\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ and if E is any vector bundle over X, then the sheaf complex

$$0\to\mathcal{O}(E)\to\mathcal{A}_0(E)\xrightarrow{\bar\partial}\mathcal{A}_1(E)\xrightarrow{\bar\partial}\mathcal{A}_2(E)\xrightarrow{\bar\partial}\dots$$

is exact.

By standard sheaf theory we have canonical isomorphisms

$$H^k(X,\mathcal{O}(E))=\frac{\text{Ker}\left(\Gamma(X,\mathcal{A}_k(E))\overset{\bar\partial}{\to}\Gamma(X,\mathcal{A}_{k+1}(E))\right)}{\text{Im}\left(\Gamma(X,\mathcal{A}_{k-1}(E))\overset{\bar\partial}{\to}\Gamma(X,\mathcal{A}_k(E))\right)},\qquad k\geq 1.$$

4. Singularities of the structure form

In this section we provide a proof of Proposition 3.5. Let $i\colon X\to Y$ be an embedding where Y is projective and smooth of dimension N. Recall that the kth *Fitting ideal (sheaf)* of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$, $\text{Fitt}_0 g^k$, is the ideal generated by all r_k-minors of (the matrix) g^k in a locally free resolution $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$, where r_k is the generic rank of g^k, see, e.g., [16]. It is well-known that these ideals are independent of the resolution $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$; the zero variety of $\text{Fitt}_0 g^k$ is just the BEF-variety Z^{bef}_k, cf., Section 3.4. Since X has pure dimension, $\text{Fitt}_0 g^k$ is trivial when $k\geq N$, cf., (3.15). Let $p=N-n$ be the codimension of X in Y. For $\ell=1,\dots,n-1$, let $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ be the pullback (restriction) of $\text{Fitt}_0 g^{p+\ell}$ to X. It follows that these ideals only depend on the embedding $i\colon X\to Y$. We call them the *structure ideals* on X associated with the given embedding.

Given a Hermitian resolution $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$, let σ_k be the pointwise minimal inverse of g^k. If (after resolution of singularities) $\text{Fitt}_0 g^k$ is principal, generated by the holomorphic section s, Lemma 2.1 in [8] asserts that $s\sigma_k$ is smooth. Thus $i^*\sigma_{p+k}=:\sigma^k$ is well-defined and semimeromorphic on X.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that* $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ *and* $\mathfrak{a}'_\ell$ *are the structure ideals associated with the embeddings* $i:X\to Y$ *and* $i':X\to Y'$*, respectively. Then for each* $\ell\geq 1$*,*

$$\mathfrak{a}_\ell\cdots\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}\subset\mathfrak{a}'_\ell. \tag{4.1}$$

Since the zero set of $\mathfrak{a}_{k+1}$ is contained in the zero set of $\mathfrak{a}_k$ it follows that the zero set X^ℓ of $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$, cf., Section 3.6, coincides with the zero set of $\mathfrak{a}'_\ell$. It follows that X^ℓ is independent of the embedding i.

Proof. Given $i\colon X\to Y$ and a point $x\in X$ there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}\subset X$ such that the restriction to $\mathcal{V}$ of i factorizes as

$$\mathcal{V}\overset{j}{\to}\widehat{\Omega}\overset{\iota}{\to}\widehat{\Omega}\times\mathbb{B}_M=:\Omega, \tag{4.2}$$

where j is a minimal (and therefore basically unique) embedding at x, $\mathbb{B}_M\subset\mathbb{C}^M_w$ is a ball centered at 0, ι is the trivial embedding $z\mapsto(z,0)$ if z are coordinates in

$\widehat{\Omega}$, and Ω is a neighborhood of x in Y. Let now $\mathcal{O}(E^{\hat{g}}_\bullet), \hat{g}$ be a Hermitian minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}^{\widehat{\Omega}}/\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{V}}$ at x in $\widehat{\Omega}$ and assume that $\hat{p}$ is the codimension of $\mathcal{V}$ in $\widehat{\Omega}$. Thus $p = \hat{p} + M$, where as before p is the codimension of X in Y.

Let E^w, δ_w be the Koszul complex generated by $w = (w_1, \dots, w_M)$, cf., Example 3.1. The sheaf complex associated with the product complex $E^{\hat{g}} \otimes E^w$ with mappings $g = \hat{g}(z) + \delta_w$, cf., Section 3.5, provides a (minimal) resolution of $\mathcal{O}^{\Omega}/\mathcal{J}_X$ in Ω, see [4, Remark 8]. Notice that $g^{p+\ell}$ is the mapping

$$(E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell} \otimes E^w_M) \oplus (E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell+1} \otimes E^w_{M-1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell+M} \otimes E^w_0) \xrightarrow{\hat{g}(z)+\delta_w}$$
$$(E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell-1} \otimes E^w_M) \oplus (E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell} \otimes E^w_{M-1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell+M-1} \otimes E^w_0).$$

Since $w = 0$ on X, the restriction of $g^{p+\ell}$ to X splits into the direct sum of the separate mappings

$$\hat{g}^{\hat{p}+\ell+j} \colon E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell+j} \otimes E^w_{M-j} \to E^{\hat{g}}_{\hat{p}+\ell+j-1} \otimes E^w_{M-j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, M.$$

Since the optimal rank $r_{p+\ell}$ of $g^{p+\ell}$ is attained at every point on $X_{\rm reg}$, it follows that $r_{p+\ell} = \hat{r}_{\hat{p}+\ell} + \hat{r}_{\hat{p}+\ell+1} + \cdots + \hat{r}_{\hat{p}+M}$, where $\hat{r}_k$ is the generic rank of $\hat{g}^k$. Therefore, the restriction to X of ${\rm Fitt}_0 g^{p+\ell}$ is equal to (the restriction to X of) the product ideal

$${\rm Fitt}_0 \hat{g}^{\hat{p}+\ell} \cdot {\rm Fitt}_0 \hat{g}^{\hat{p}+\ell+1} \cdots {\rm Fitt}_0 \hat{g}^{\hat{p}+\ell+M}.$$

Since X has pure dimension, ${\rm Fitt}_0 \hat{g}^k$ is trivial for $k \geq \hat{p} + n = \dim \widehat{\Omega}$, and thus if $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\ell$ are the structure ideals associated with $j : \mathcal{V} \to \widehat{\Omega}$,

$$\mathfrak{a}_\ell = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\ell \cdots \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\min(n-1, \ell+M)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\ell \cdots \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{a}_\ell \subset \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\ell. \tag{4.4}$$

By the same argument, since i' factorizes as $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{j} \widehat{\Omega} \xrightarrow{\iota'} \widehat{\Omega} \times \mathbb{B}_{M'}$, at least if $\mathcal{V}$ is small enough, $\mathfrak{a}'_\ell = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\ell \cdots \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{\min(n-1, \ell+M')}$, and so (4.4) holds at x for $\mathfrak{a}'_\ell$ instead of $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$. Combining we see that (4.1) holds in a neighborhood of x. Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, the inclusion holds globally on X. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *There is a smooth resolution* $\tau \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ *and a holomorphic section* η_0 *of a line bundle* $S_0 \to \widetilde{X}$, *which is nonvanishing in* $\widetilde{X} \setminus \tau^{-1} X_{sing}$, *with the following properties: If* $i \colon X \to Y$ *is an embedding,* $\dim Y = N$, $p = N - n$, *and* $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ *is a Hermitian locally free resolution of* $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$, *then:*

(i) all the ideals $\tau^* \mathfrak{a}_\ell$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n-1$, *are principal,*

(ii) the subbundles ${\rm Im}\, \tau^* i^* g^{p+\ell} \subset \tau^* i^* E_{p+\ell-1}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, n-1$, *a priori defined over* $\widetilde{X} \setminus \tau^{-1} X^\ell$, *all have holomorphic extensions to* $\widetilde{X}$,

(iii) if ω *is the induced structure form, then* $\eta_0 \tau^* \omega_0$ *is smooth.*

For the proof we will need the following, probably well-known, result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* E, Q *be holomorphic vector bundles over* X *and let* $g : E \to Q$ *be a holomorphic morphism. Let* $Z \subset X$ *be the analytic set where* g *does not have optimal rank. There is a (smooth) resolution* $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ *such that the subbundle* $\pi^* {\rm Im}\, g \subset \pi^* Q$, *a priori defined in* $\widetilde{X} \setminus \pi^{-1} Z$, *has a holomorphic extension to* $\widetilde{X}$.

Proof. Let $G\colon Q\to F$ be a morphism such that F is a direct sum of line bundles, say $S_1,\dots,S_r$, and

$$\mathcal{O}(F^*)\xrightarrow{G^*}\mathcal{O}(Q^*)\xrightarrow{g^*}\mathcal{O}(E^*)$$

is exact, cf., [6, Proposition 3.3]; we write $G=(G_1,\dots,G_r)$, where $G_j:Q\to S_j$. It then follows that

$$E\xrightarrow{g^{p+1}}Q\xrightarrow{G}F \tag{4.5}$$

is pointwise exact in $X\setminus Z$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Im}g=\operatorname{Ker}G=\cap_j\operatorname{Ker}(Q\overset{G_j}{\to}S_j)$$

on $X\setminus Z$.

To prove that $\operatorname{Ker}G$ has a holomorphic extension, let us first assume that F has rank 1, so that G defines an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_G\subset\mathcal{O}^X$. Also, let us assume that X is connected; if not we just consider each connected component separately. If G is identically zero we define $K:=Q$. Otherwise let $\pi:\widetilde{X}\to X$ be the blow-up of X along $\mathcal{J}_G$, let D be the corresponding divisor, and let $\mathcal{O}(-D)$ be the line bundle defined by D. Then (the pullback to $\widetilde{X}$ of) G is of the form G^0G', where G' is a nonvanishing mapping $Q\to F\otimes\mathcal{O}(-D)$ and $G^0:F\otimes\mathcal{O}(-D)\to F$ is generically invertible. Thus $K:=\operatorname{Ker}G'$ is a holomorphic subbundle of Q, and it generically coincides with $\pi^*\operatorname{Ker}G$.

For the general case, we proceed by induction: We let K_1 be an extension of $\operatorname{Ker}G_1$ as above. Then we let $K_2\subset K_1$ be an extension of the kernel of $G_2|_{K_1}\colon K_1\to S_2$. Proceeding in this way we find subbundles $K_r\subset\cdots\subset K_1\subset Q$, such that K_j generically coincides with $\operatorname{Ker}G_1\cap\cdots\cap\operatorname{Ker}G_j$ on X. In particular K_r coincides with $\operatorname{Im}g$ generically on X, and so we have found a holomorphic extension of $\operatorname{Im}g$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $\tau\colon\widetilde{X}\to X$ be a smooth resolution and η_0 a holomorphic section of a line bundle $S_0\to\widetilde{X}$. We claim that (i), (ii), and (iii) hold for a given embedding $i\colon X\to Y$ and Hermitian locally free resolution $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet),g$ if and only they hold for any other embedding and Hermitian locally free resolution. Since this claim is local, it is enough to prove the claim at a fixed point x.

To prove the claim, let us first fix an embedding $i:X\to Y$. Let $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet),g$ be a Hermitian locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$ and let $\mathcal{O}(E^{g'}_\bullet),g'$ be a minimal such resolution at x. It is well-known that $E^{g'}_\bullet,g'$ is a direct summand in $E^g_\bullet,g$, i.e., there is a decomposition $E^g_\bullet=E^{g'}_\bullet\oplus E^{g''}_\bullet$, $g=g'\oplus g''$, where the complex $E^{g''}_\bullet,g''$ is pointwise exact, see, e.g., [8, Section 4]. Since $\operatorname{Im}g^{p+\ell}=\operatorname{Im}(g')^{p+\ell}\oplus\operatorname{Im}(g'')^{p+\ell}$ it follows that (the pullback to $\widetilde{X}$ of) $\operatorname{Im}g^{p+\ell}$ has a holomorphic extension if and only if $\operatorname{Im}(g')^{p+\ell}$ has, for $\ell\geq 1$. From this decomposition it also follows immediately, as we alreday know, that $\operatorname{Fitt}_0 g^{p+\ell}=\operatorname{Fitt}_0(g')^{p+\ell}$, so that the structure ideals $\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ are well-defined, i.e., independent of the Hermitian resolution.

The structure form ω' associated with $\mathcal{O}(E^{g'}_\bullet),g'$ can be considered as a structure form associated with $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet),g$ but with a Hermitian metric that respects the direct sum, cf., [8, Section 4] and (3.14). Now $\omega_0=\pi\omega_0'$, where π is the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement (with respect to the first metric) of $\operatorname{Im}g^{p+1}$ in $E_p|_X$ over $X\setminus X^1$, and $\omega_0=\pi'\omega_0'$, where π' is the orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement (with respect to the second metric) of $\operatorname{Im}(g')^{p+1}$, cf., the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [8]. If (*ii*) holds for (at least one of) the resolutions, then

$\tau^*\pi$ and $\tau^*\pi'$ are smooth and it follows that $\eta_0\tau^*\omega_0$ is smooth if and only if $\eta_0\tau^*\omega_0'$ is. Since the minimal resolution $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet^{g'}), g'$ is unique (up to isomorphism) we conclude that if $(i)-(iii)$ hold for one Hermitian resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$ at a given point $x\in X$, then they hold for any such resolution at x.

Next, pick an embedding $i: X\to Y$. In a neighborhood of x, i factorizes as (4.2). Let $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet^{\hat g}), \hat g$ be a Hermitian minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}^{\widehat\Omega}/\mathcal{J}_X$. Then, using the notation from the proof of Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{O}(E^{\hat g})\otimes E^w, \hat g+\delta_w =: g$ is a (minimal) resolution of $\mathcal{O}^\Omega/\mathcal{J}_X$. The associated residue current is equal to $R^{\hat g(z)}\wedge R^w$, see [4, Remark 4.6]. Since a product of local ideals is principal if and only each of its factor is principal it follows from (4.3) that $\tau^*\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ are principal for $\ell = 1,\dots,n-1$ if and only if $\tau^*\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\ell$ are principal for $\ell=1,\dots,n-1$. Moreover, since the restriction of $g^{p+\ell}$ to X is a direct sum of restrictions of $g^{\hat p+\ell+j}$, cf., the proof of Lemma 4.1, it follows that (the pull-back to $\widetilde X$ of) $\operatorname{Im} g^{p+\ell}$, $\ell\ge 1$, have holomorphic extensions if and only if $\operatorname{Im} g^{\hat p+\ell}$, $\ell\ge 1$, have.

Since w are just the coordinate functions in $\mathbb{C}^M$, the Bochner-Martinelli formula asserts that $R^w_M\wedge dw_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dw_M = (2\pi i)^M[w=0]$, where $[w=0]$ is the current of integration over the affine set $\{w=0\}$. Let $\widehat N = \dim\widehat\Omega$, and let $\widehat\omega$ denote the structure form in $\widehat\Omega$ associated with $R^{\hat g(z)}$, so that $j_*\widehat\omega = R^{\hat g}\wedge dz_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dz_{\widehat N}$. Then,

$$i_*\widehat\omega = \iota_* R^{\hat g}\wedge dz_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dz_{\widehat N} = R^{\hat g}\wedge dz_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dz_{\widehat N}\wedge[w=0]\sim \\ R^{\hat g}\wedge R^w\wedge dw_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dw_M\wedge dz_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dz_{\widehat N},$$

where $\sim$ denotes "equal to a nonzero constant times". We conclude, cf., (3.14) that $\widehat\omega$ is also a structure form associated with a Hermitian resolution of $\mathcal{O}^\Omega/\mathcal{J}_X$. From above we know, provided that (ii) holds for (at least one of) the resolutions, that $\eta_0\tau^*\omega_0$ is smooth if and only if $\eta_0\tau^*\widehat\omega_0$ is. Since any embedding factors through the minimal embedding j in a small enough neighborhood of x, we have proved the claim.

It remains to prove the existence of $\tau\colon \widetilde X\to X$ and η_0 such that $(i)-(iii)$ hold. To this end let us fix an embedding $i\colon X\to Y$ and a Hermitian locally free resolution $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet^g), g$. To begin with, by resolution of singularities, we can find a smooth resolution $\hat\tau\colon \widehat X\to X$ such that $\hat\tau^*\mathfrak{a}_\ell$ all are principal, so that (i) holds. Next, by Lemma 4.3 we can find a resolution $\tau: \widetilde X\to\widehat X$ so that $\operatorname{Im}\tau^*\hat\tau^* i^* g^{p+\ell}$ have holomorphic extensions.

Finally we consider (iii). According to Proposition 3.3 in [6],

$$\omega_0 = \sigma_G h,$$

where h is holomorphic in the Barlet-Henkin-Passare sense, i.e., $\bar\partial h = 0$ on X, and $\sigma_G\colon F\to E_p$ is the inverse of G in $X\setminus X^1$ with pointwise minimal norm, vanishing on the orthogonal complement of $\operatorname{Im} G$. After further resolving we may assume that τ is chosen so that also (the pullback of) the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_G$ is principal in $\widetilde X$, say, generated by the section s_G. Then, by [8, Lemma 2.1], $s_G\sigma_G$ is smooth, cf., the text preceding Lemma 4.2. Since h is meromorphic, there is a section η_0 of a line bundle $S_0\to\widetilde X$ such that $\eta_0\tau^*\omega_0$ is smooth. We may also assume that $\tau^{-1}X_{\text{sing}}$ is a divisor, so that τ^*h is meromorphic with poles contained in $\tau^{-1}X_{\text{sing}}$. Since the variety of $\mathfrak{a}_G$ is contained in X_{sing} it follows that we can choose η_0 to be nonvanishing in $\widetilde X\setminus\tau^{-1}X_{\text{sing}}$. □

We can now conclude the proof of Proposition 3.5. Let $\tau\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ and η_0 be as in Lemma 4.2. Fix an embedding $i' : X \to Y'$ and let $s'_1, \dots, s'_{n-1}$ be sections on $\widetilde{X}$ defining (the pull-back to $\widetilde{X}$ of) the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$. Let $\eta_\ell = s'_\ell \cdots s'_{n-1}$, $\ell \geq 1$, and $\eta = \eta_0\eta_1 \cdots \eta_{n-1}$. Note that s'_ℓ is nonvanishing outside $\tau^{-1}X_{\text{sing}}$ so that η is nonvanishing in $\widetilde{X} \setminus \tau^{-1}X_{\text{sing}}$ if η_0 is. We claim that $\eta\tau^*\omega$ is smooth for any structure form ω on X.

To prove the claim, let ω be the structure form associated with an embedding $i : X \to Y$ and a Hermitian locally free resolution $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$. Assume that (the pullbacks of) the corresponding structure ideals are defined by sections $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$. Outside X^ℓ, $\omega_\ell = \alpha^\ell\omega_{\ell-1}$, where $\alpha^\ell = \mathbf{1}_{X_{\text{reg}}}\bar\partial\sigma^\ell$, cf., (3.16) and [8, Section 2]. By [8, Lemma 2.1], $s^\ell\tau^*\sigma^\ell$ is smooth in $\widetilde{X}$. Thus, since ω_ℓ has the SEP, $\eta_0 s_1 \cdots s_\ell\omega_\ell$ is smooth, and so $\eta_0 s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}\omega$ is smooth. By Lemma 4.2, s_ℓ divides η_ℓ and hence the claim follows.

5. Global division problems and residues

Let (3.4) be a generically exact Hermitian complex over a smooth variety X. Moreover, let ϕ be a global holomorphic section of E_0 such that $R^f\phi = 0$. As we have seen in Section 3, then $\nabla_f(U^f\phi) = \phi$. If the double complex $\mathcal{M}_{\ell,k} = \mathcal{C}_{0,k}(X, E_\ell)$ is exact in the k-direction except at $k = 0$, then it follows, cf., (3.8), that there is a global holomorphic solution to $f^1 q = \phi$. Let us see more precisely what is needed. Notice that $U^f_{\min(M,n+1)}\phi$ is automatically $\bar\partial$-closed. Since X is smooth then by the Dolbeault isomorphism for currents it is possible to solve successively the equations

$$\bar\partial w_{\min(M,n+1)} = U^f_{\min(M,n+1)}\phi, \quad \bar\partial w_k = U^f_k\phi - f^{k+1}w_{k+1},\ 1 \leq k < \min(M, n+1),$$

if

$$H^{k-1}(X, \mathcal{O}(E_k)) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq \min(M, n+1). \tag{5.1}$$

Then

$$q := U^f_1\phi - f^2 w_2$$

is a holomorphic solution to $f^1 q = \phi$. To sum up we have

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that X is smooth and ϕ is a holomorphic section of E_0. If $R^f\phi = 0$ and* (5.1) *holds, then there is a global holomorphic section q of E_1 such that $f^1 q = \phi$.*

Remark 5.2. Assume that ϕ belongs to the sheaf $\mathcal{J}_f = \operatorname{Im} f^1$. This means that locally we have a holomorphic solution q to $\nabla_f q = \phi$. However, this does *not* imply that there is a global (smooth or current) solution to $\nabla_f v = \phi$, unless the complex $\mathcal{O}(E^f_\bullet), f$ is exact.

For instance, take global sections f^1_j of $\mathcal{O}(d) \to \mathbb{P}^n$, i.e., homogeneous forms f^1_j of degree d on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and let $\mathcal{O}(E^f_\bullet), f$ be the Koszul complex generated by $f^1 := (f^1_1, \dots, f^1_m)$, cf., Example 3.1, tensorized by $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$. Assume that ϕ is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ that is locally in the image of f^1, i.e., ϕ is a global section of $\mathcal{J}_f \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho)$. If there is a global solution to $\nabla_f v = \phi$ and $\rho \geq (n+1)d - n$ so that (5.1) is fulfilled, then, cf., the proof of Theorem 1.1 below, there are holomorphic forms q_j such that $\sum f^1_j q_j = \phi$. However, in general the mapping

$$\oplus\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(\rho - d)) \xrightarrow{f^1} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{J}_f \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho))$$

seems to be surjective only if ρ is much larger than $(n+1)d-n$, see, e.g., [10] and [17, Proposition 4.16].

If $\mathcal{O}(E^f_\bullet), f$ is exact, then by the duality principle, ϕ annihilates the residue R^f, and so we get a global solution to $\nabla_f v = \phi$. One can also piece together local holomorphic solutions to a global smooth solution elementarily, using the exactness of $\mathcal{O}(E^f_\bullet), f$. □

We will now present an analogous result for a singular X. Since we have no access to a $\bar\partial$-theory for currents on X, we must in first place assume that the complex $E^f_\bullet, f$ is defined in a small neighborhood of X in some embedding in a larger smooth manifold. One can get rid of the hypothesis of extendability of f, but to the cost of a slightly more complicated residue current to annihilate; see the proof of Theorem 1.4 below.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $i\colon X \to Y$ be an embedding of X in a projective manifold Y, let $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ be a locally free Hermitian resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$ in Y, and let ω be an associated structure form on X.*

Let (3.4) *be a Hermitian complex over (an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of X in) Y, and let $R^f\wedge\omega$ be the associated residue current. Moreover let ϕ be a global section of E_0 on X.*

(i) If $R^f\wedge\omega\phi = 0$, then there is a global smooth solution W on X to

$$\nabla_f W = \phi. \tag{5.2}$$

(ii) If (5.2) *has a global smooth solution on X and* (5.1) *holds, then there is a global holomorphic section q of $\mathcal{O}(E_1)$ such that $f^1 q = \phi$ on X.*

With minor modifications of the proof we get the following more general version of Theorem 5.3:

With the general hypotheses of Theorem 5.3, assume that ϕ is a global holomorphic section of E_ℓ such that $f^\ell\phi = 0$.

(i) If $R^\ell\wedge\omega\phi = 0$ then there is a smooth global solution to (5.2)*.*

(ii) If (5.2) *has a smooth solution and*

$$H^{0,k-1-\ell}(X, \mathcal{O}(E_k)) = 0, \quad \ell+1 \le k \le \min(M, n+1+\ell),$$

then there is a global holomorphic section q of $E_{\ell+1}$ such that $f^{\ell+1}q = \phi$.

Remark 5.4. Assume that we have an embedding $i\colon X \to Y$ and that the data $E^f_\bullet, f$ and ϕ are defined globally on Y. If $\nabla_F v = \phi$ has a (current) solution on Y, cf., Section 3.7, and all the the occurring $\bar\partial$-equations are solvable, we get a global holomorphic solution (q,η) on Y to $f^1 q + g^1\eta = \phi$. If for instance, $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$ and all the bundles E^f_k are direct sums of line bundles $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ for various ℓ, then q is a tuple of homogeneous forms q_j on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ such that $\sum f^1_j q_j = \phi$ on X. However, we get slightly sharper degree estimates if we solve $f^1 q = \phi$ intrinsically on X by Theorem 5.3 and then extend to a global solution by Proposition 6.1 below. □

Remark 5.5. If we just have a current solution to $\nabla_f V = \phi$ on X it does not follow that there is a holomorphic solution, not even locally. In fact, if X is nonnormal, there are holomorphic f and ϕ such that $\bar\partial(\phi/f) = 0$ but $U = \phi/f$ is not holomorphic. Thus $(f - \bar\partial)U = \phi$ but ϕ is not in the ideal (f). If X is normal but nonsmooth, there are similar examples with more generators, see [25]. □

We cannot assume that the section ϕ in Theorem 5.3 has a holomorphic extension to (a neighborhood of X in) Y. However, as a substitute we can find what we will call a ∇_g*-closed extension*.

Lemma 5.6. *Let* $i : X \to Y$ *be an embedding of* X *in a projective manifold* Y*, let* $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ *be a Hermitian resolution of* $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$*, and let* ϕ *be a global holomorphic section on* X *of a line bundle* $S \to Y$*.*

(i) There is a global smooth section $\Phi = \sum_{\ell\geq 0} \Phi_\ell$ *of* $\oplus_\ell \mathcal{E}_{0,\ell}(E^g_\ell \otimes S)$ *on* Y *such that* $\nabla_g \Phi = 0$ *on* Y *and* $\Phi_0 = \phi$ *on* X*, i.e.,* $i^*\Phi_0 = \phi$*.*

(ii) Φ *is such an extension of* ϕ *if and only if*

$$\Phi - R^g\phi = \nabla_g w \tag{5.3}$$

for some current w*.*

Recall that $E^g_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is a trivial line bundle.

One can obtain a ∇_g-closed extension Φ of ϕ quite elementarily by piecing together local holomorphic extensions, due to the exactness of $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$. However, we prefer an argument that also relates to residue calculus as in (ii), and we also think that Lemma 5.6 (ii) may be of independent interest.

Proof. As noted in Section 3.7, $R^g\phi$ is a well-defined ∇_g-closed current in Y. In view of Proposition 3.4 there is a smooth ∇_g-closed Φ such that (5.3) holds for some current w. Thus (i) follows from (ii).

Assume that Φ is a smooth extension of ϕ as in (i). From (3.6) we have that $\nabla_g(U^g\wedge\Phi) = \Phi - R^g\wedge\Phi$. Since $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ is exact, $(R^g)^\ell = 0$ for $\ell \geq 1$, cf., Section 3.4, and hence $R^g\wedge\Phi = R^g\Phi_0 = R^g\phi$, since $\Phi_0 = \phi$ on X. Thus

$$\nabla_g(U^g\wedge\Phi) = \Phi - R^g\phi.$$

Conversely, assume that Φ is smooth and (5.3) holds. Then clearly $\nabla_g\Phi = 0$. We have to prove that $\Phi_0 = \phi$ on X. Since this is a local statement, given a point on X there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ where we have holomorphic extension $\hat{\phi}$ of ϕ. Then $\nabla_g(U^g\hat{\phi}) = \hat{\phi} - R^g\hat{\phi} = \hat{\phi} - R^g\phi$ in $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $\nabla_g(w - U^g\hat{\phi}) = \Phi - \hat{\phi}$. By Proposition 3.4 there is a smooth ξ such that $\nabla_g\xi = \Phi - \hat{\phi}$. It follows that $g^1\xi_1 = \Phi_0 - \hat{\phi}$ and hence $\Phi_0 = \hat{\phi} = \phi$ in $\mathcal{U}$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Recall from Section 3.7 that $R^f\wedge\omega\phi = 0$ implies that $R^f\wedge R^g\phi = 0$. Let Φ be a ∇_g-closed smooth extension of ϕ, as in Lemma 5.6 (i), to Y. As in the proof of Lemma 5.6, $R^g\wedge\Phi = R^g\Phi_0 = R^g\phi$. It follows that $R^f\wedge R^g\wedge\Phi = R^f\wedge R^g\phi = 0$. Hence, from (3.17) we get, cf., Section 3.7,

$$\nabla_F[(U^f\wedge R^g + U^g)\wedge\Phi] = \Phi.$$

By Proposition 3.4 we have a smooth solution Ψ to $\nabla_F\Psi = \Phi$ in Y; i.e.,

$$F^1\Psi_1 = \Phi_0, \quad F^{k+1}\Psi_{k+1} - \bar{\partial}\Psi_k = \Phi_k, \ k \geq 1.$$

If we let lower indices (i,j) denote values in $E^f_i \otimes E^g_j$, and notice that $\Phi_k = \Phi_{0,k}$, we see that

$$f^1\Psi_{1,0} + g^1\Psi_{0,1} = \Phi_0, \quad f^{k+1}\Psi_{k+1,0} + g^1\Psi_{k,1} - \bar{\partial}\Psi_{k,0} = 0, \ k \geq 1. \tag{5.4}$$

Since Ψ is smooth we can define the forms $W_k = i^*\Psi_{k,0}$ on X, and (5.4) then means that

$$f^1W_1 = \phi, \quad f^{k+1}W_{k+1} - \bar{\partial}W_k = 0, \ k \geq 1.$$

Thus (i) follows.

Now (ii) follows as in the case when X is smooth, cf., the beginning of Section 5 (now W plays the role of $U^f\phi$), but using the sheaves $\mathcal{A}_k$ over X, rather than $\mathcal{C}_{0,k}$, and the isomorphisms in Section 3.8. □

It should be possible to express the ∇_F-exactness of Φ in Y by means of Čech cohomology, then make the restriction to X, and rely on the vanishing of the relevant Čech cohomology groups on X. In this way one could possibly avoid the reference to the sheaves $\mathcal{A}_k$ over X.

6. Upper bounds for κ and ν

The following simple (and most certainly well-known) interpolation result gives an estimate of the number κ introduced in Section 1.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $i\colon X\to\mathbb{P}^N$ be an embedding. Assume that $0\to\mathcal{O}(E_M)\xrightarrow{g^M}\ldots\xrightarrow{g^3}\mathcal{O}(E_2)\xrightarrow{g^2}\mathcal{O}(E_1)\xrightarrow{g^1}\mathcal{O}$ is a resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}_X$ over $\mathbb{P}^N$, where E_k are as in Example 3.6. The restriction mapping*

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{P}^N,\mathcal{O}(\ell))\to\mathcal{O}(X,\mathcal{O}(\ell)) \tag{6.1}$$

is surjective if $\ell\geq\max_i d_N^i-N$. If $M<N$, then (6.1) *is surjective for all ℓ.*

In particular, $\kappa\leq\max_i d_N^i-N$. For the proof we need, see, e.g., [14], that

$$H^k(\mathbb{P}^N,\mathcal{O}(\ell))=0 \qquad \text{if} \quad \ell\geq -N \quad \text{or} \quad k<N. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Let ϕ be a global holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)\to X$ and let Φ be a smooth ∇_g-closed extension of ϕ to $\mathbb{P}^N$ as in Lemma 5.6. Notice that Φ_N is a smooth $(0,N)$-form that takes values in $\oplus_i\mathcal{O}(\ell-d_N^i)$ so by (6.2) there is a smooth solution to $\bar\partial w_N=\Phi_N$ if $\ell-d_N^i\geq -N$ for all i. We can then successively find smooth solutions to the equations $\bar\partial w_k=\Phi_k+g^{k+1}w_{k+1}$ for $k=N-1,\ldots,1$. Finally we obtain the holomorphic section $\hat\phi=\Phi_0+g^1w_1$ of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ over $\mathbb{P}^N$, which, since w_1 is smooth, coincides with Φ_0 on X. If $M<N$ no cohomological obstruction occurs, cf., (6.2). □

For $X\subset\mathbb{P}^N$ let $M_X=\mathbb{C}[z_0,\ldots,z_N]/J_X$, where J_X is the homogeneous ideal associated with X, cf., Example 3.6. The *regularity* $\operatorname{reg}X$ of X, introduced by Eisenbud and Goto, [18], is defined as $\max_{i,k}(d_k^i-k)$ for a minimal free resolution of M_X as in Example 3.6. It follows from Proposition 6.1 that $\kappa\leq\operatorname{reg}X$. This estimate also follows from [18], see Proposition 4.16 in [17]. The same proposition also implies that $H^i(X,\mathcal{O}(\ell))=0$ for all $i\geq 1$ if $\ell\geq\operatorname{reg}X-1$; thus $\nu\leq\operatorname{reg}X-1$. It follows that

$$\max(d\min(m,n+1)+\nu,d+\kappa)\leq d\min(m,n+1)+\operatorname{reg}X. \tag{6.3}$$

If $m>1$ we actually have strict inequality in (6.3).

If M_X is Cohen-Macaulay and X is not contained in any hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^N$, then $\operatorname{reg}X\leq\deg X-(N-n)$, see e.g., [17, Corollary 4.15]. In that case (if $n,d,\deg X\geq 2$) the last two entries in the estimates in Theorem 1.1 can be omitted unless $c_\infty=-\infty$. A particular case is when X is a complete intersection:

Example 6.2. Assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a reduced complete intersection not contained in a hyperplane, i.e., there are homogeneous forms $g_1, \dots, g_{N-n}$ such that $(g_j) = J_X$ and $d_j := \deg g_j \geq 2$. Then the Koszul complex generated by the g_j is a resolution of M_X, and it terminates before level N unless $n = 0$. As long as $n > 0$ thus, by Proposition 6.1, (6.1) is surjective for all ℓ, i.e., $\kappa = -\infty$. If $n = 0$, then $d_N^1 = d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_N$ and hence $\kappa \leq d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_N - N$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{reg} X = d_1 + \cdots + d_{N-n} - (N-n)$ and thus $\nu \leq d_1 + \cdots + d_{N-n} - (N-n) - 1$. By Bezout's formula, $\deg X = d_1 \cdots d_{N-n}$ and since $d_j \geq 2$ this is larger than or equal to $\geq d_1 + \cdots + d_{N-n} = \operatorname{reg} X + (N-n)$. □

We do not know whether κ and ν always are small compared to $\deg X$.

7. Proof of Theorem 2.4

Let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be the d-homogenizations of the polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$, considered as sections of $\mathcal{O}(d)|_X \to X$. Let $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet^f), f$ be a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}^X/\mathcal{J}_f$ over X, where E_k^f have the form $\oplus_i E_k^i \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^i)$, where E_k^i are trivial line bundles, cf., Section 3.6, and let R^f be the associated residue current on X. Recall from Section 3.4 that $R^f = (R^f)^0$.

Claim. *The hypothesis* (2.4) *implies that* $\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R^f = 0$.

By the dimension principle, $\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R_0^f$ vanishes since it has bidegree $(0,0)$ and support on X_∞, which has codimension at least 1. We proceed by induction so assume that $\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R_k^f = 0$. Outside Z_{k+1}^{bef} we then have, cf., Section 3.4, that

$$\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R_{k+1}^f = \mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} \alpha_{k+1} R_k^f = \alpha_{k+1} \mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R_k^f = 0$$

since α_{k+1} is smooth there. Hence $\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R_{k+1}^f$, which has bidegree $(0, k+1)$, has support on $X_\infty \cap Z_{k+1}^{\text{bef}}$ and in view of (2.4) and the dimension principle it must vanish. Thus the claim follows.

Fix an integer $\rho \geq \deg \Phi$. Notice that the complex $E_\bullet^f \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho), f$ also has R^f as its associated residue current. Let ϕ be the ρ-homogenization of Φ, so that ϕ is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho) = E_0^f \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho)$. By the duality principle, $R^f \phi = 0$ in V since Φ is in (F_j), and thus

$$\mathbf{1}_V R^f \phi = 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Since $R^f \phi = \mathbf{1}_V R^f \phi + \mathbf{1}_{X_\infty} R^f \phi$, cf., Section 3.1, we conclude, from (7.1) and the claim, that $R^f \phi = 0$.

Assume that the complex $E_\bullet^f, f$ ends at level M and let

$$r_f = \max_i d^i_{\min(n+1, M)}.$$

If also $\rho \geq r_f + \nu$, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that we have a global holomorphic $q = \sum q_j$ of $E_1 = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(\rho - d)$ over X such that $f^1 q = \phi$. Since q_j take values in $\mathcal{O}(\rho - d)|_X$ they have holomorphic extensions to $\mathbb{P}^N$ if $\rho \geq d + \kappa$. We then get the desired polynomial solution Q_j to (1.1) after dehomogenization. Thus Theorem 2.4 follows with

$$\beta = \max(r_f + \nu, d + \kappa).$$

Remark 7.1. If $f_1,\dots,f_m$ form a complete intersection, i.e., $\operatorname{codim}_X Z^f = m$ (so that $Z^f = \emptyset$ if $m \geq n+1$), then the Koszul complex generated by f_j provides a resolution of $\mathcal{O}^X/\mathcal{J}_f$. Using the notation from the preceding proof, we then have $r_f = d\min(m, n{+}1)$. Since a complete intersection is locally Cohen-Macaulay, $Z_k^{\mathrm{bef}} = \emptyset$ for $k > m$. The condition (2.4) then means that Z^f has no irreducible component contained in X_∞. In particular, Corollary 2.3 for smooth X and Corollary 2.2 follow. □

8. Integral closure, distinguished varieties and residues

Let $f_1,\dots,f_m$ be global holomorphic sections of the ample Hermitian line bundle $L \to X$, and let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the coherent ideal sheaf they generate. Let

$$\nu\colon X_+ \to X$$

be the normalization of the blow-up of X along $\mathcal{J}_f$, and let $W = \sum r_j W_j$ be the exceptional divisor; here W_j are irreducible Cartier divisors. The images $Z_j := \nu(W_j)$ are called the *(Fulton-MacPherson) distinguished varieties* associated with $\mathcal{J}_f$. If $f = (f_1,\dots,f_m)$ is considered as a section of $E^* := \oplus_1^m L$, then $\nu^* f = f^0 f'$, where f^0 is a section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-W)$ defined by W, and $f' = (f_1',\dots,f_m')$ is a nonvanishing section of $\nu^* E^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(W)$, where $\mathcal{O}(W) = \mathcal{O}(-W)^{-1}$. Furthermore, $\omega_f := dd^c \log|f'|^2$ is a smooth first Chern form for $\nu^* L \otimes \mathcal{O}(W)$.

Recall that (a germ of) a holomorphic function ϕ belongs to the *integral closure* $\overline{\mathcal{J}_{f,x}}$ of $\mathcal{J}_{f,x}$ at x if $\nu^*\phi$ vanishes to order (at least) r_j on W_j for all j such that $x \in Z_j$. This holds if and only if $|\nu^*\phi| \leq C|f^0|$ (in a neighborhood of the relevant W_j), which in turn holds if and only if $|\phi| \leq C|f|$ in some neighborhood of x. Let $\overline{\mathcal{J}_f}$ denote the integral closure sheaf. It follows that

$$|\phi| \leq C|f|^\ell \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi \in \overline{\mathcal{J}_f^\ell}. \tag{8.1}$$

If X is smooth it follows that ϕ is in the integral closure, if for each j, ϕ vanishes to order r_j at a generic point on Z_j. See [26, Section 10.5] for more details (e.g., the proof of Lemma 10.5.2).

We will use the geometric estimate

$$\sum r_j \deg_L Z_j \leq \deg_L X \tag{8.2}$$

from [15], see also [26, (5.20)].

Lemma 8.1. *There is a number μ_0, only depending on X, such that if*

$$|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\mu+\mu_0}, \tag{8.3}$$

then $R^f \wedge \omega\phi = 0$ if ω is a structure form of X and R^f is the residue current obtained from the Koszul complex of f. If X is smooth one can take $\mu_0 = 0$.

This proposition (and its proof) is analogous to Proposition 4.1 in [7]; the important novelty here is that μ_0 can be chosen uniform in ω, which is ensured by Proposition 3.5. However, for the readers convenience and further reference we discuss the proof.

Proof. Let us first assume that X is smooth and $\mu_0 = 0$, and that ϕ satisfies (8.3). Then ω is smooth so we have to show that $R^f\phi = 0$. If $f \equiv 0$ on (a component of) X, then $R^f \equiv 1$ and $\phi \equiv 0$, and thus $R^f\phi = 0$. Let us now assume that $\operatorname{codim} Z^f \geq 1$. Then $R_0^f = 0$ by the dimension principle. Let $\nu\colon X_+ \to X$ be the normalization of the blow-up along $\mathcal{J}_f$ as above, so that $\nu^*f = f^0 f'$. Using the notation in Example 3.1, then $\nu^*\sigma = (1/f^0)\sigma'$, where $1/f^0$ is a meromorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(W)$ and σ' is a smooth section of $\nu^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-W)$. It follows that

$$\nu^*(\sigma\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma)^{k-1}) = \frac{1}{(f^0)^k}\sigma'\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma')^{k-1},$$

and hence

$$\nu^* R_k^{f,\lambda} = \bar\partial|f^0 f'|^{2\lambda}\wedge\frac{1}{(f^0)^k}\sigma'\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma')^{k-1},$$

when $k \geq 1$. Since f' is nonvanishing, the value at $\lambda = 0$ is precisely, see, e.g., [1, Lemma 2.1],

$$R_k^+ := \bar\partial\frac{1}{(f^0)^k}\wedge\sigma'\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma')^{k-1}. \tag{8.4}$$

Notice that

$$\nu_* R_k^+ = R_k^f. \tag{8.5}$$

Since ϕ satisfies (8.3) for $\mu_0 = 0$, $|\nu^*\phi| \leq C|f^0|^\mu$ and, since X_+ is normal it follows that $\nu^*\phi$ contains a factor $(f^0)^\mu$. Therefore,

$$\nu^*\phi\bar\partial\frac{1}{(f^0)^k} = 0, \quad k \leq \mu, \tag{8.6}$$

because of (3.1). Moreover, since $\sigma'\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma')^{k-1}$ is smooth on X_+, it follows from (8.6) and (8.4) that $R_k^+\nu^*\phi = 0$. Therefore, cf., (8.5), $R_k^f\phi = \nu_*(R_k^+\nu^*\phi) = 0$.

Notice that we could have used any normal modification $\pi\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that π^*f is of the form $f^0 f'$ in the proof so far.

Now consider a general X. Let us take a smooth modification $\tau\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ as in Proposition 3.5, so that, for each structure form ω on X, $\tau^*\omega$ is semimeromorphic with a denominator that divides the section η, and such that $\widetilde{X}$ so that η is locally a monomial in suitable coordinates s_j.

Let ω be a structure form on X. In this proof it is convenient to use the regularization

$$R^f = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} R^{f,\epsilon}, \text{ where } R^{f,\epsilon} := 1 - \chi(|f|^2/\epsilon) + \bar\partial\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\wedge u,$$

where u is the form from Example 3.1 and χ is a smooth approximand of the characteristic function of $[1,\infty)$, cf., the beginning of Section 3, so that all the approximands $R^{f,\epsilon}$ are smooth. If $f \equiv 0$ on a component $\widetilde{X}_j$ of $\widetilde{X}$, then $R^{f,\epsilon} \equiv 1$ on $\widetilde{X}_j$ and if ϕ satisfies (8.3) for any μ_0, then $\phi \equiv 0$ on $\widetilde{X}_j$; here we have suppressed the notation τ^* for simplicity. Hence $\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{X}_j} R^{f,\epsilon}\wedge\omega\phi = 0$ and so $\mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{X}_j} R^f\wedge\omega\phi = 0$. We can therefore assume that $f \not\equiv 0$ on $\widetilde{X}$. Thus the action of $R^{f,\epsilon}\wedge\omega\phi$ on a test form is, via a partition of unity, a sum of integrals like

$$\int_{\widetilde{X}} \frac{ds_1\wedge\ldots\wedge ds_n}{\eta}\wedge R^{f,\epsilon}\phi\wedge\xi = \int_{\widetilde{X}} \frac{ds_1\wedge\ldots\wedge ds_n}{s_1^{\alpha_1+1}\cdots s_n^{\alpha_n+1}}\wedge R^{f,\epsilon}\phi\wedge\xi,$$

where α_j are nonnegative integers and ξ is a smooth form. Following [7, Section 3] one can integrate by parts $|\alpha| := |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_n|$ times, and get a constant times

$$\int_{\widetilde{X}} \frac{ds_1\wedge\ldots\wedge ds_n}{s_1\cdots s_n}\wedge\partial_s^\alpha\big(R^{f,\epsilon}\phi\wedge\xi\big), \tag{8.7}$$

where $\partial_s^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial s_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial s_n^{\alpha_n}}$. If $\mu_0 \geq \mu + |\alpha| + 1$ and ϕ satisfies (8.3), then by the smooth Briançon-Skoda theorem, locally in $\widetilde{X}$, ϕ is in the ideal $(f)^{\mu+|\alpha|+1}$. Therefore,

$$|\partial_s^\ell \phi| \leq C|f|^{\mu+|\alpha|-|\ell|+1}.$$

Following [7, Section 4] one finds that $\partial_s^\ell R^{f,\epsilon}$ has a certain homogeneity with a singularity that increases with ℓ: if we take a smooth modification $\pi\colon \widehat{X} \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $\pi^* f$ is principal, then $\partial_s^\ell R^{f,\epsilon}$ is like $1/(f^0)^{\mu+|\ell|+1}$ and with support where $|f|^2 \sim \epsilon$. It follows by dominated convergence that (8.7) tends to zero when $\epsilon \to 0$. Now if $\mu_0 \geq \mu + |\alpha| + 1$ for all local representations $\eta = s_1^{\alpha_1+1}\cdots s_n^{\alpha_n+1}$ it follows that $R^f \wedge \omega\phi = 0$. $\square$

9. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 2.1 and variations

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, besides the basic Lemma 8.1 we also need

Lemma 9.1. *Assume that $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is smooth, and let ω be a structure form on X. Then there is a number μ' such that $z_0^{\mu'}\omega$ is almost smooth on X.*

Proof. Let $\tau : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be as in Proposition 3.5. Then $\widetilde{\omega} := \tau^*\omega$ is a semimeromorphic form whose denominator locally is a monomial whose zeros are contained in $\tau^{-1}X_{\rm sing}$. Since V is smooth, $X_{\rm sing} \subset X_\infty \subset \{z_0 = 0\}$, and it follows that $\tau^*(z_0^{\mu'})\tau^*\omega$ is smooth for some large enough number μ'. Hence $z_0^{\mu'}\omega$ is almost smooth. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let f_j be the d-homogenizations of F_j, let R^f be the residue current constructed from the Koszul complex $E_\bullet^f, \delta_f$ generated by $f_1, \dots, f_m$, and let ϕ be the ρ-homogenization of Φ, where

$$\rho = \max(\deg\Phi + (\mu+\mu_0)d^{c_\infty}\deg X, d\min(m, n+1) + \nu, d+\kappa), \tag{9.1}$$

where μ_0 is chosen as in Lemma 8.1; in particular, $\mu_0 = 0$ if X is smooth. Throughout this proof we will use the notation from Section 8.

The assumption (1.4) implies that $\nu^*\phi$ vanishes to order $(\mu+\mu_0)r_j$ on each W_j such that $\nu(W_j)$ is not contained in X_∞. Now consider W_j such that $\nu(W_j) \subset X_\infty$. If Ω is a first Chern form for $\mathcal{O}(1)|_X$, e.g., $\Omega = dd^c \log|z|^2$, then $d\Omega$ is a first Chern form for $L = \mathcal{O}(d)|_X$ on X (notice that d is the degree and not the differential). By (8.2) we therefore have that

$$r_j \int_{Z_j} (d\Omega)^{\dim Z_j} \leq \int_X (d\Omega)^n,$$

which implies that

$$r_j \leq d^{\operatorname{codim} Z_j}\deg X. \tag{9.2}$$

By the choice (9.1) of ρ, ϕ is of the form $z_0^{(\mu+\mu_0)d^{c_\infty}\deg X}$ times a holomorphic section, and thus $\nu^*\phi$ vanishes to order at least $(\mu+\mu_0)r_j$ on W_j for each j. Hence (8.3) holds, cf., (8.1), and it follows from Lemma 8.1 that $R^f \wedge \omega\phi = 0$.

Since $\rho \geq d\min(m, n+1) + \nu$ it follows that, for $1 \leq k \leq \min(m, n+1)$, $E_k^f \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho)$ is a direct sum of line bundles $\mathcal{O}(\ell_i)$, where $\ell_i \geq \nu$. By Theorem 5.3 we therefore have a holomorphic solution to $\delta_f q = \phi$ on X. By the definition of κ, q extends to a global section over $\mathbb{P}^N$. After dehomogenization, part (i) of Theorem 1.1 follows.

For the second part choose $\rho = \max(\deg \Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty} \deg X + \mu', d \min(m, n+1) + \nu, d + \kappa)$, where μ' is chosen as in Lemma 9.1, and let ϕ and ϕ' be the ρ- and $(\deg \Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty} \deg X)$-homogenizations of Φ, respectively. Then, by Lemma 9.1,

$$R^f \wedge \omega \phi = R^f \wedge \beta \phi',$$

where β is almost smooth, and by (1.5) and (9.2),

$$|\phi'| \leq C |f|^\mu. \tag{9.3}$$

Now take a smooth modification $\pi \colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ such that $\beta = \pi_* \tilde{\beta}$, where $\tilde{\beta}$ is smooth, and $f = f^0 f'$, where f^0 is a section of a line bundle and f' is nonvanishing. Then $R^f \wedge \omega \phi$ is the push-forward under π of a finite sum of currents like

$$(\pi^* \phi') \bar{\partial} \frac{1}{(f^0)^\mu} \wedge smooth,$$

cf., (8.4), (8.5), and in view of (9.3) they must vanish. Thus $R^f \wedge \omega \phi = 0$ and (ii) is proved as (i). □

If $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $m \leq n$, then, cf., (6.2), there are no cohomological obstructions at all, and so we get the estimate (1.7).

Remark 9.2. If

$$\operatorname{codim}(Z^f \cap X^\ell) \geq \mu + \ell + 1, \quad \ell \geq 0, \tag{9.4}$$

where X^ℓ are as in Section 3.6 (thus either $X_{\text{sing}} \cap Z^f = \emptyset$ or $m < n$), then Theorem 1.1 (i) holds with $\mu_0 = 0$. To see this, take $\rho \geq \deg \Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty} \deg X$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then $R^f \phi = 0$ on X_{reg}, and thus $R^f \wedge \omega \phi$ has support on $Z^f \cap X^0$. Since $R^f \wedge \omega_0 \phi$ has bidegree at most (n, μ) and $\operatorname{codim}(Z^f \cap X^0) \geq \mu + 1$ by (9.4), it follows from the dimension principle that $R^f \wedge \omega_0 \phi = 0$. Thus $R^f \wedge \omega_1 \phi = R^f \wedge \alpha^1 \omega_0 \phi$ vanishes outside X^1, so again by (9.4) and the dimension principle we find that $R^f \wedge \omega_1 \phi$ vanishes identically. By induction, $R^f \wedge \omega \phi = 0$. □

Example 9.3. In light of Example 2.3 of Kollár in [24] one can see that the power c_∞ in Theorem 1.1 cannot be improved: Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and let m be an integer with $2 \leq m \leq n$. Consider the m polynomials

$$z_1^d, \ z_1 z_m^{d-1} - z_2^d, \dots, z_{m-2} z_m^{d-1} - z_{m-1}^d, \ z_{m-1} z_m^{d-1} - 1,$$

in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The associated projective variety $\{z_0 = z_1 = \cdots = z_{m-1} = 0\} \subset X_\infty$ has codimension m, and hence $c_\infty = m$, cf., (1.3). It follows from Theorem 1.1 that we have a representation (1.1) with $\Phi = 1$ and $\deg F_j Q_j \leq m d^m$ (if d is not too small). However, if Q_j are any polynomials so that (1.1) holds with $\Phi = 1$, then by considering the curve

$$t \mapsto (t^{d^{m-1}-1}, t^{d^{m-2}-1}, \dots, t^{d-1}, 1/t, 0, \dots, 0),$$

one can conclude that Q_1 must have degree at least $d^m - d$ so that $\deg F_1 Q_1 \geq d^m$. □

In [3] is used a slight generalization of the Koszul complex to deal with a positive power $\mathcal{J}_f^\ell$ of $\mathcal{J}_f$, cf. [15, p. 439]. The first mapping in the complex is the natural mapping $E^{\otimes \ell} \to \mathbb{C}$ induced by the f_j. The associated residue current is the push-forward of currents like

$$\bar{\partial} \frac{1}{(f^0)^k} \wedge smooth$$

for $\ell \leq k \leq \mu + \ell - 1$. By an analogous proof we get the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 9.4. *With the notation in Theorem 1.1, if*

$$|\Phi| \leq C|F|^{\mu+\mu_0+\ell-1}$$

locally on V, *then* $\Phi \in (F_j)^\ell$ *and there are polynomials* Q_I *such that*

$$\Phi = \sum_{I_1+\cdots+I_m=\ell} F_1^{I_1}\cdots F_m^{I_m} Q_I$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\textit{deg}\,(F_1^{I_1}\cdots F_m^{I_m}Q_I) \leq \\ &\quad \max\big(\textit{deg}\,\Phi + (\mu+\mu_0+\ell-1)d^{c_\infty}\,\textit{deg}\,X, d(\min(m,n+1)+\ell-1)+\nu, d\ell+\kappa\big). \end{aligned}$$

There is also an analogous generalization of part (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Choose $\rho = \max(\deg\Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty}\deg X, d\min(m,n+1)+\nu, d+\kappa)$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, let ϕ be the ρ-homogenization of Φ and let $R^f\wedge\omega$ be the residue current associated with the Koszul complex of the homogenizations f_j of F_j, and make the decomposition

$$R^f\wedge\omega = \mathbf{1}_V R^f\wedge\omega + \mathbf{1}_{X_\infty}R^f\wedge\omega. \tag{9.5}$$

Since $\operatorname{codim} Z^f\cap V \geq m$, the duality principle for a complete intersection, cf., Section 3.4, implies that $R^f\phi = 0$ in $V_{\text{reg}} = V\setminus X^0$, and so $\mathbf{1}_V R^f\wedge\omega_0\phi$ has support on $Z^f\cap X^0$.

Consider now the normalization of the blow-up $\nu\colon X_+\to X$, and let $R^+ := \sum R_k^+$ be as in the proof of Lemma 8.1. Let W' be the union of the irreducible components of $W = \nu^{-1}Z^f$ that are contained in $\nu^{-1}X_\infty$. We claim that

$$\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty}R^f = \nu_*\big(\mathbf{1}_{W'}R^+\big). \tag{9.6}$$

In fact, by (3.2),

$$\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty}R^f = \nu_*\big(\mathbf{1}_{\nu^{-1}X_\infty}R^+\big) = \nu_*(\mathbf{1}_{\nu^{-1}X_\infty}(\mathbf{1}_{W'}+\mathbf{1}_{W\setminus W'})R^+\big). \tag{9.7}$$

By, (3.3), $\mathbf{1}_{\nu^{-1}X_\infty}\mathbf{1}_{W'}R^+ = \mathbf{1}_{W'}R^+$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\nu^{-1}X_\infty}\mathbf{1}_{W\setminus W'}\bar\partial\frac{1}{(f^0)^k} = 0$$

by the dimension principle since $\nu^{-1}X_\infty\cap W\setminus W'$ has codimension at least 2 in X_+. In view of (8.4) we conclude that $\mathbf{1}_{\nu^{-1}X_\infty}\mathbf{1}_{W\setminus W'}R^+ = 0$, and so (9.6) follows from (9.7).

Claim. $\mathbf{1}_{X_\infty}R^f\phi$ *vanishes outside* X^0.

In view of (9.6), the dimension principle, and (8.4) it is enough to show that $\mathbf{1}_{W'}R^+\nu^*\phi$ vanishes in a neighborhood of each point x on W' where W' and W are regular. Consider now such a regular point x on an irreducible component W_j of W'. In a neighborhood of x we have that $f^0 = s^{r_j}v$, where s is a coordinate function and v is nonvanishing and r_j is as in Section 8. By the choice of ρ, $\nu^*\phi$ vanishes to order (at least) $\mu d^{c_\infty} \deg X$ on W' and thus in view of (9.2) it vanishes (at least) to order μr_j on W_j and hence it has a factor $s^{\mu r_j}$. Now in a neighborhood of x

$$\bar\partial \frac{1}{(f^0)^k} = \bar\partial \frac{1}{s^{kr_j}} \wedge smooth$$

and it follows that it is annihilated by $\nu^*\phi$ for $k \le \mu$. Thus the claim follows in light of (8.4).

Summing up so far we have found, in view of (9.5), that $R^f\wedge\omega_0\phi$ has support on $Z^f \cap X^0$. By (2.2) and the dimension principle we conclude that it vanishes identically, since the bidegree of R^f is at most $(0, \mu)$. Thus $R^f\wedge\omega_1\phi = R^f\wedge\alpha^1\omega_0\phi$ vanishes outside X^1, and by (2.2) and the dimension principle, it vanishes identically. By induction, it follows that $R^f\wedge\omega_\ell\phi = 0$ for each ℓ. We conclude that $R^f \wedge \omega\phi = 0$. Now the theorem follows from Theorem 5.3 as in previous proofs. □

10. Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and variations

We first look at the case when X is smooth, which is due to Ein-Lazarsfeld [15].

Theorem 10.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety, let $L \to X$ be an ample line bundle, and let $A \to X$ is a line bundle that is either ample or big and nef. Moreover, let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be global holomorphic sections of L, and let ϕ be a global section of*

$$L^{\otimes s} \otimes K_X \otimes A,$$

where $s \ge \min(m, n+1)$. If

$$|\phi| \le C|f|^\mu \tag{10.1}$$

on X, then there are holomorphic sections q_j of $L^{\otimes(s-1)} \otimes K_X \otimes A$ such that

$$f_1q_1 + \cdots + f_mq_m = \phi. \tag{10.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the ideal sheaf generated by f_j and assume that the associated distinguished varieties Z_k have multiplicities r_k, cf., Section 8. If ϕ vanishes to (at least) order $r_k\mu$ at a generic point on Z_k for each k, then (10.1) holds, cf., Section 8, and thus we have

Corollary 10.2. *If ϕ vanishes to order $r_k\mu$ at a generic point on Z_k, for each k, then we have a representation* (10.2)*.*

This corollary is precisely part (iii) of the main theorem in [15, p. 430], except for that we have μr_k rather than $(n+1)r_k$, cf., the discussion in Remark 1.2. Recall from Section 8 that one can estimate the multiplicities r_k; for instance $r_k \le \deg_L X$, see (8.2).

One can also have a mixed hypothesis, and for instance assume that (10.1) holds outside a hypersurface H and that ϕ vanishes to order μr_k on each distinguished variety Z_k contained in H; this would lead to an "abstract" Hickel theorem.

Proof of Theorem 10.1. Equip L with a Hermitian metric, let $E^f_\bullet, \delta_f$ be the Koszul complex generated by $f_1, \dots, f_m$, as in Example 3.1, tensorized with $L^{\otimes s} \otimes A \otimes K_X$, and let R^f be the associated residue current on X. From the hypothesis (10.1) and Lemma 8.1 we conclude that $R^f\phi = 0$. The bundle E_k is a direct sum of line bundles $L^{\otimes(s-k)} \otimes A \otimes K_X$ and so all the relevant cohomology groups (5.1) vanish by Kodaira's vanishing theorem, or, at the top degree, by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem if A is nef and big. Thus Theorem 10.1 follows from Proposition 5.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $E^f_\bullet, \delta_f$ be the Koszul complex generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ tensorized with $L^{\otimes s}$. The choice of s guarantees that (5.1) is satisfied and thus by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.3 (ii) we get the desired holomorphic solution to (1.9) as soon as we have a smooth solution to

$$\nabla_f W = \phi \tag{10.3}$$

on X. Hence to prove the theorem it suffices to show that there is a μ_0 such that we can find a smooth solution to (10.3) for each global section ϕ of $L^{\otimes s}$ that satisfies (1.8). The strategy will be to follow and further elaborate the proof of Theorem 5.3 (i). Note that we cannot apply Theorem 5.3 (i) directly since a priori L and the sections f_j are only defined on X.

We first claim that there is an embedding $i\colon X \to Y$ into a smooth projective manifold Y and a line bundle $Ł \to Y$ such that $L = Ł|_X$, i.e., $L = i^*Ł$. In fact, if M is large enough, there are embeddings $i_j\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^{N_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, such that $\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^{N_1}}|_X = L^M$ and $\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^{N_2}}|_X = L^{M+1}$. If $\pi_j\colon \mathbb{P}^{N_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{N_2} \to \mathbb{P}^{N_j}$, then $Ł := \pi_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)_{\mathbb{P}^{N_2}} \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}(-1)_{\mathbb{P}^{N_1}}$ is a line bundle over $Y := \mathbb{P}^{N_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{N_2}$ and its restriction to $X \simeq \Delta_{X\times X} \subset Y$ is precisely L. This argument was recently communicated to us by R. Lazarsfeld. Let $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ be a Hermitian resolution of $\mathcal{O}^Y/\mathcal{J}_X$ in Y as in Section 3.4.

In general we cannot assume that the f_j extend holomorphically to Y nor even to a neighborhood of X in Y. However, let $E^h_\bullet, h$ be a complex that is isomorphic to but disjoint from $E^g_\bullet, g$. In view of Lemma 5.6, we can choose smooth ∇_h-closed extensions $\tilde{f}_j \in \oplus_i \mathcal{E}_{0,i}(E^h_i \otimes Ł)$ of f_j to Y, as defined in Section 5. Let $E^1, \ldots, E^m$ be trivial line bundles as in Example 3.1, with basis elements $e_1, \ldots, e_m$, respectively, and let $\tilde{f}$ be the section $\tilde{f} := \tilde{f}_j e_j^*$ of $E^h_\bullet \otimes E^*$, where $E := \bigoplus_{j=1}^m Ł^{-1} \otimes E^j$ and e_j^* are the dual basis elements. Note that each $\tilde{f}_j$ has even degree so that $\tilde{f}$ has odd degree.

Inspired by Example 3.1 we want to construct a Koszul complex of $\tilde{f}$ as an extension of $E^f_\bullet, \delta_f$ and an associated residue current. In order to do this we will need to take products of sections of $E^h_\bullet$. We therefore introduce $E^H_\bullet := \bigcup_{k\geq 1}(E^h_\bullet)^{\otimes k}$. Since E^h_0 is the trivial line bundle, $(E^h_\bullet)^{\otimes k}$ is a natural subcomplex of $(E^h_\bullet)^{\otimes(k+1)}$ and thus the definition makes sense. In fact, our objects will all take values in $(E^h_\bullet)^{\otimes n}$. Next let $\delta_{\tilde{f}} : E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k E \to E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} E$ be contraction with $\tilde{f}$, i.e., for a section $\xi = \sum_{I=\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}} \xi_I \wedge e_I$, with $\xi_I \in E^H_\bullet$ and $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$, of $E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^k E$, $\delta_{\tilde{f}}\xi = \sum_I (-1)^{\deg \xi_I} \xi_I \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} \tilde{f}_{i_j} \wedge e_{I\setminus i_j}$.

As long as we restrict to X we can write $\tilde{f} = f - f'$, where $f := \sum f_j e_j^*$ and f' has positive form degree. Let $\delta_{f'}$ be defined analogously to $\delta_{\tilde{f}}$ and let σ be the section of E over $X \setminus Z$ of pointwise minimal norm such that $\delta_f \sigma = 1$ there, cf. Example 3.1. Then

$$\delta_{\tilde{f}}\sigma = \delta_f \sigma - \delta_{f'}\sigma = 1 - \delta_{f'}\sigma$$

on $X \setminus Z$. Notice that $\delta_{f'}\sigma$ has even degree, and form bidegree at least $(0,1)$, so that

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta_{f'}\sigma} = 1 + \delta_{f'}\sigma + (\delta_{f'}\sigma)^2 + \cdots + (\delta_{f'}\sigma)^n$$

is a form on $X \setminus Z$ with values in $E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^\bullet E$. Let $\tilde{\sigma} := \sigma/(1-\delta_{f'}\sigma)$ on $X \setminus Z$; then $\delta_{\tilde{f}}\tilde{\sigma} = 1$ on $X \setminus Z$. Next, let

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}}{(\delta_{\tilde{f}} + \nabla_h)\tilde{\sigma}} = \sum_{k\geq 1} \tilde{\sigma} \wedge (-\nabla_h \tilde{\sigma})^{k-1},$$

cf., Example 3.1 and [1]. Note that $\delta_{\tilde{f}}$ anti-commutes with (the extension to $E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^\bullet E$ of) ∇_h, i.e., $\delta_{\tilde{f}} \circ \nabla_h = -\nabla_h \circ \delta_{\tilde{f}}$. It follows that $(\delta_f + \nabla_h)^2 = 0$ and so

$$(\delta_{\tilde{f}} + \nabla_h)\tilde{u} = 1$$

on $X \setminus Z$, cf. Section 3.2.

Let R^g be the residue current associated with the resolution $\mathcal{O}(E^g_\bullet), g$ and let ω be an associated structure form. Recall from Section 3.6 that if α is a sufficiently smooth form on X, then $\alpha \wedge R^g$ is a well-defined in Y; in particular, $\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge R^g$ is a well-defined current in Y with values in $E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^\bullet E \otimes E^g_\bullet$. Letting

$$\nabla = g + \delta_{\tilde{f}} + \nabla_h = g + \delta_{\tilde{f}} + h - \bar{\partial},$$

note that

$$\nabla\big(\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge R^g + U^g\big) = I - \tilde{R}^\epsilon \wedge R^g, \tag{10.4}$$

where $\tilde{R}^\epsilon = I - \chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)I + \bar{\partial}\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon) \wedge \tilde{u}$.

We claim that $\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge R^g$ has a limit when $\epsilon \to 0$. To see this, recall from Section 3.6, using the notation from that section, that $\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge R^g \wedge \mathit{\Omega} = i_*(\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge\omega)$. Next, notice that

$$\tilde{\sigma}\wedge(-\nabla_h\tilde{\sigma})^{k-1} = \sigma\wedge(\bar{\partial}\sigma)^{k-1} \wedge \sum_{j=0}^{n} c^k_j (\delta_{f'}\sigma)^j, \tag{10.5}$$

for some numbers c^k_j, since $\sigma\wedge\sigma = 0$ and σ has degree 0 in $E^h_\bullet$. Let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a smooth modification such that $\pi^*\omega$ is semimeromorphic and $\pi^*\sigma$ is of the form σ'/f^0, cf. Section 8. Then $\pi^*\tilde{u}$ is a finite sum of terms $\gamma_k/(f^0)^k$, where γ_k are smooth, and hence $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \pi^*(\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\wedge\tilde{u}\wedge\omega)$ exists, see, e.g., [12]. Since $\mathit{\Omega}$ is nonvanishing it follows that the limit of $\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge R^g$ exists.

Let

$$\tilde{U}\wedge R^g = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\tilde{u}\wedge R^g, \quad \tilde{R}\wedge R^g = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \tilde{R}^\epsilon \wedge R^g.$$

Then

$$\nabla(\tilde{U}\wedge R^g + U^g) = I - \tilde{R}\wedge R^g,$$

and if Φ is a smooth ∇_g-closed extension of ϕ as in Lemma 5.6 (regarded as a section of $\mathrm{L}^{\otimes s} \otimes E^H_\bullet \otimes \Lambda^\bullet E \otimes E^g_\bullet$), it follows that

$$\nabla\big((\tilde{U}\wedge R^g + U^g)\wedge\Phi\big) = \Phi \tag{10.6}$$

in Y as soon as

$$\tilde{R}\wedge R^g \phi = 0, \tag{10.7}$$

since, as was noted in the proof of Lemma 5.6, $R^g \wedge \Phi = R^g \phi$.

We claim that there is a μ_0, only depending on X, such that (10.7) holds as soon as ϕ satisfies (1.8). Note that (10.7) is equivalent to that $\tilde{R}\wedge R^g \wedge \mathit{\Omega}\phi = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} i_*(\tilde{R}^\epsilon \wedge \omega\phi)$ vanishes. Let $\tau : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be a smooth modification as in Proposition 3.5, so that locally $\tau^*\omega = \frac{smooth}{s^{\alpha+1}}$, where $s^{\alpha+1}$ is a local representation of the section η, as in the proof of Lemma 8.1. Following that proof, the action of $\tilde R^\epsilon\wedge\omega\phi$ on a test form is a sum of integrals like (suppressing τ^* for simplicity)

$$\int_{\widetilde X}\frac{ds_1\wedge\ldots\wedge ds_n}{s_1\cdots s_n}\wedge\partial_s^\alpha\big(\tilde R^\epsilon\phi\wedge\xi\big), \tag{10.8}$$

where ξ is smooth. As in the proof of Lemma 8.1 it is enough to consider components of $\widetilde X$ where f does not vanish identically. Also, as in the proof of Lemma 8.1, $\partial_s^\alpha\tilde R^\epsilon$ has a certain homogeneity that increases with ℓ. Indeed, in view of (10.5), $\tilde R^\epsilon$ is a finite sum of terms like

$$\bar\partial\chi(|f|^2/\epsilon)\wedge\sigma\wedge(\bar\partial\sigma)^{k-1}\wedge(f'\cdot\sigma)^j,$$

where $k+j\le n$ for degree reasons; recall that f' has form degree at least $(0,1)$. Now, if we take a smooth modification $\pi\colon\widehat X\to\widetilde X$ such that π^*f is principal, then $\pi^*\sigma=smooth/f^0$, $\pi^*\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_j}\sigma\Big)=smooth/(f^0)^2$ and following [7, Section 4] we get that $\pi^*(\partial_s^\ell\tilde R^\epsilon)$ is like $1/(f^0)^{n+|\ell|+1}$ with support where $|f|^2\sim\epsilon$, cf., the proof of Lemma 8.1. As in that proof, choose $\mu_0\ge n+|\alpha|+1$ for all local representations $\eta=s^{\alpha+1}$ and assume that ϕ satisfies (1.8). Then $|\partial_s^\ell\phi|\le C|f|^{n+|\alpha|-|\ell|+1}$, cf. the proof of Lemma 8.1. Now by dominated convergence (10.8) tends to zero when $\epsilon\to 0$, and since the choice of μ_0 only depends on the section η and n the claim follows.

To sum up so far, there is a μ_0 such that if ϕ satisfies (1.8), we have a current solution (10.6) to $\nabla\Psi=\Phi$. By a slight modification of Proposition 3.4 we also have a smooth solution. To see this, let $E^F_\bullet=\Lambda^\bullet E\otimes E^g_\bullet$ and let $\mathcal M_\bullet$ and $\mathcal M^{\mathcal E}_\bullet$ be defined as in Section 3.3, but for the complex $E^H_\bullet$ instead of $E^f_\bullet$. Then we have the double complex

$$\mathcal B_{\ell,k}=\oplus_j\mathcal C_{0,j}(E^H_{j+k}\otimes E^F_\ell)=:\mathcal M_k(E^F_\ell)$$

with mappings $\nabla_h\colon\mathcal B_{\ell,k}\to\mathcal B_{\ell,k-1}$ and $F:=g+\delta_{\tilde f}\colon\mathcal B_{\ell,k}\to\mathcal B_{\ell-1,k}$; indeed note that $\nabla_h\circ F=-F\circ\nabla_h$. If $\mathcal B_j:=\bigoplus_{\ell+k=j}\mathcal B_{\ell,k}$ we get the associated total complex

$$\ldots\xrightarrow{\nabla}\mathcal B_j\xrightarrow{\nabla}\mathcal B_{j-1}\xrightarrow{\nabla}\ldots\ ,$$

with ∇ as in (10.4). Analogously let $\mathcal B^{\mathcal E}_{\ell,k}=\oplus_j\mathcal E_{0,j}(E^H_{j+k}\otimes E^F_\ell)=:\mathcal M^{\mathcal E}_k(E^F_\ell)$ with total complex $\mathcal B^{\mathcal E}_\bullet$. Moreover, let $\mathcal B_\bullet(X)$ and $\mathcal B^{\mathcal E}_\bullet(X)$ be the associated complexes of global sections. Note that we have natural mappings

$$H^j(\mathcal B^{\mathcal E}_\bullet(X))\to H^j(\mathcal B_\bullet(X)),\quad j\in\mathbb Z. \tag{10.9}$$

Proposition 3.4 implies that the natural mappings $H^k(\mathcal M^{\mathcal E}_\bullet(X,E^F_\ell))\to H^k(\mathcal M_\bullet(X,E^F_\ell))$ are isomorphisms. Now, by repeating the proof of Proposition 3.4 with $\mathcal M_\bullet$, $\mathcal M^{\mathcal E}_\bullet$, $\mathcal C_{0,\bullet}$, and $\mathcal E_{0,\bullet}$ replaced by $\mathcal B_\bullet$, $\mathcal B^{\mathcal E}_\bullet$, $\mathcal M_\bullet$, and $\mathcal M^{\mathcal E}_\bullet$, respectively, using that the double complex $\mathcal B_{\ell,k}$ is bounded in the ℓ-direction, we can therefore prove that the mappings (10.9) are in fact isomorphisms. Hence the current solution (10.6) gives a smooth solution to $\nabla\Psi=\Phi$.

Let lower indices (i,j,k) denote components in $\text{Ł}^{\otimes s}\otimes E^H_i\otimes\Lambda^jE\otimes E^g_k$. Then $\Phi=\Phi_{0,0,0}+\Phi_{0,0,1}+\cdots+\Phi_{0,0,n}$, where $\Phi_{0,0,k}$ has form bidegree $(0,k)$. Notice that we have the decomposition $\tilde f=f_0-f'$ in Y, where f_0 denotes the 0-component of $\tilde f$ and hence is a smooth extension of f to Y. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&h\Psi_{1,0,0}+\delta_{f_0}\Psi_{0,1,0}+g\Psi_{0,0,1}=\Phi_0,\\ &\qquad\qquad h\Psi_{1,j,0}+\delta_{f_0}\Psi_{0,j+1,0}+g\Psi_{0,j,1}-\bar\partial\Psi_{0,j,0}=0,\ j\ge 1.\end{aligned} \tag{10.10}$$

Indeed, note that $\delta_{f'}\Psi_{i,j,k}$ has positive degree in $E^H_\bullet$ for all nonvanishing $\Psi_{i,j,k}$. Since Ψ is smooth, we can define the smooth forms $W_j := i^*\Psi_{0,j,0}$ on X. Note that $\mathrm{L}^{\otimes s} \otimes \Lambda^j E|_X = E^f_j$, so that W_j takes values in E^f_j. Since $g\Psi_{0,j,1} = g^1\Psi_{0,j,1}$ and $h\Psi_{1,j,0} = h^1\Psi_{1,j,0}$ are in $\mathcal{J}_X$, (10.10) implies

$$\delta_f W_1 = \phi, \quad \delta_f W_{j+1} - \bar\partial W_j = 0, \ j \geq 1.$$

Thus we have shown that there is a μ_0 such that if ϕ satisfies (1.8), then we get a smooth solution to (10.3); this concludes the proof. □

Remark 10.3. If if $E^h_\bullet, h$ is a Koszul complex, then we just simply take $E^H_\bullet = E^h_\bullet$, since he desired "product" already exists within $E^h_\bullet$. □

In analogy with Theorem 9.4 we also have the following generalizations of Theorems 10.1 and 1.4.

Theorem 10.4. *With the notation in Theorem 10.1, if* ϕ *is a section of* $L^{\otimes s}\otimes K_X\otimes A$, *where* $s \geq \min(m, n+1) + \ell - 1$, *and*

$$|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\mu+\ell-1},$$

there are holomorphic sections q_I *of* $L^{\otimes(s-\ell)} \otimes K_X \otimes A$, *such that*

$$\phi = \sum_{I_1+\cdots+I_m=\ell} f_1^{I_1}\cdots f_m^{I_m} q_I. \tag{10.11}$$

With the notation in Theorem 1.4, if ϕ *is a section of* $L^{\otimes s}$ *with* $s \geq \nu_L + \min(m, n+1) + \ell - 1$ *such that*

$$|\phi| \leq C|f|^{\mu_0+\mu+\ell-1},$$

then there are holomorphic sections q_I *of* $L^{\otimes(s-\ell)}$ *such that* (10.11) *holds.*

REFERENCES

[1] M. Andersson: *Residue currents and ideals of holomorphic functions*, Bull. Sci. Math. **128**, (2004), 481–512.

[2] M. Andersson: *The membership problem for polynomial ideals in terms of residue currents*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **56** (2006), 101–119.

[3] M. Andersson: *Explicit versions of the Briançon-Skoda theorem with variations*, Michigan Math. J. **54(2)** (2006), 361-373.

[4] M. Andersson: *A residue criterion for strong holomorphicity*, Arkiv Mat. **48** (2010), 1–15.

[5] M. Andersson & E. Götmark: *Explicit representation of membership of polynomial ideals*, Math. Ann. **349** (2011), 345–365.

[6] M. Andersson & H. Samuelsson: *A Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma on a complex space via Koppelman formulas*, arXiv:1010.6142.

[7] M. Andersson & H. Samuelsson & J. Sznajdman: *On the Briançon-Skoda theorem on a singular variety*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **60** (2010), 417–432.

[8] M. Andersson, E. Wulcan: *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **40** (2007), 985–1007.

[9] M. Andersson & E. Wulcan: *Decomposition of residue currents*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **638** (2010), 103–118.

[10] D. Bayer & D. Mumford: *What can be computed in algebraic geometry?*, Computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra (Cortona, 1991), 1–48, Sympos. Math., XXXIV, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[11] J.-B. Björk: *Residues and $\mathcal{D}$-modules*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, 605651, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[12] J.-E. Björk & H. Samuelsson: *Regularizations of residue currents*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **649** (2010), 3354.

[13] J. Briançon & H. Skoda: *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de* $\mathbb{C}^n$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 278 (1974), 949–951.

[14] J-P Demailly: *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, Monograph Grenoble (1997).

[15] L. Ein & R. Lazarsfeld: *A geometric effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 427–448.

[16] D. Eisenbud: *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 160. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[17] D. Eisenbud: *The geometry of syzygies. A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 229. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[18] D. Eisenbud & S. Goto: *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*, J. Algebra **88** (1984), 89–133.

[19] G. Henkin & M. Passare: *Abelian differentials on singular varieties and variations on a theorem of Lie-Griffiths*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 297–328.

[20] G. Hermann: *Die Frage der endlich vielen Schritte in der Theorie der Polynomideale*, Math. Ann., **95** (1926), 736–788.

[21] M. Hickel: *Solution d'une conjecture de C. Berenstein-A. Yger et invariants de contact à l'infini*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **51** (2001), 707–744.

[22] C. Huneke: *Uniform bounds in Noetherian rings*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992) 203–223.

[23] Z. Jelonek: *On the effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. Math. **162** 1–17 (2005).

[24] J. Kollár: *Sharp effective Nullstellensatz*, J. American Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 963–975.

[25] R. Lärkäng: *On the duality theorem on an analytic variety*, arXiv:1007.0139.

[26] R. Lazarsfeld: *Positivity in algebraic geometry I and II*, Springer-Verlag 2004.

[27] F.S. Macaulay: *The algebraic theory of modular systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1916.

[28] E. Mayr & A. Mayer: *The complexity of the word problem for commutative semigroups and polynomial ideals*, Adv. in math. **46** (1982), 305–329.

[29] M. Nöther: *Über einen Satz aus der Theorie der algebraischen Functionen*, Math. Ann. (1873), 351–359.

[30] M. Passare & A. Tsikh & A. Yger: *Residue currents of the Bochner-Martinelli type*, Publicacions Mat. **44** (2000), 85–117.

[31] M. Sombra: *A sparse effective Nullstellensatz*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **22** (1999) 271–295.

[32] E. Wulcan: *Sparse effective membership problems via residue currents*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), 661–682.

[33] E. Wulcan: *Some variants of Macaulay's and Max Noether's theorems*, J. Commut. Algebra **2** (2010), no. 4, 567-580.

Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Gothenburg, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `matsa@chalmers.se & wulcan@chalmers.se`